arXiv:math-ph/0111007v1 5 Nov 2001

FREDHOLM DETERMINANTS, JIMBO-MIWA-UENO TAU-FUNCTIONS, AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Alexei Borodin and Percy Deift

Abstract. The authors show that a wide class of Fredholm determinants arising in the representation theory of "big" groups such as the infinite–dimensional unitary group, solve Painlevé equations. Their methods are based on the theory of integrable operators and the theory of Riemann–Hilbert problems.

Contents

Introduction
1. Harmonic analysis on the infinite-dimensional unitary group
2. Continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel. Setting of the problem
3. The resolvent kernel and the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem
4. System of linear differential equations with rational coefficients
5. General setting
6. Isomonodromy deformations. Jimbo-Miwa-Ueno τ-function.
7. Painlevé VI.
8. Other kernels
    8.1. The Jacobi kernel
    8.2. The Whittaker kernel
    8.3. The confluent hypergeometric kernel
9. Differential equations: a general approach
Appendix. Integrable operator and Riemann-Hilbert problems
References

Introduction

Consider the kernel

$$K(x,y)=\frac{A(x)B(y)-B(x)A(y)}{x-y}\sqrt{\psi(x)\psi(y)},\quad x,y\in\left(\tfrac{1}{2},+\infty\right), \tag{0.1}$$

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

where

$$\psi(x)=\frac{\sin\pi z\sin\pi z'}{\pi^2}\cdot\left(x-\tfrac12\right)^{-z-z'}\left(x+\tfrac12\right)^{-w-w'},$$

$$A(x)=\left(\frac{x+\frac12}{x-\frac12}\right)^{w'}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}z+w',\,z'+w'\\ z+z'+w+w'\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{1}{\frac12-x}\right],$$

$$B(x)=\frac{\Gamma(z+w+1)\,\Gamma(z+w'+1)\,\Gamma(z'+w+1)\,\Gamma(z'+w'+1)}{\Gamma(z+z'+w+w'+1)\,\Gamma(z+z'+w+w'+2)}$$
$$\times\frac{1}{x-\frac12}\left(\frac{x+\frac12}{x-\frac12}\right)^{w'}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}z+w'+1,\,z'+w'+1\\ z+z'+w+w'+2\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{1}{\frac12-x}\right].$$

Here ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a,\,b\\ c\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right]$ stands for the Gauss hypergeometric function, and z, z', w, w' are some complex numbers. We call $K(x,y)$ the *continuous* ${}_2F_1$ *kernel* or simply the ${}_2F_1$ *kernel.*

The basic problem considered in this paper is the derivation of an ordinary differential equation for the Fredholm determinant $D(s)=\det(1-K|_{(s,+\infty)})$.

This kernel originates in the representation theory of the infinite–dimensional unitary group $U(\infty)$. Briefly, decomposition of a certain natural representation of $U(\infty)$ into irreducibles is described by a probability measure on the infinite-dimensional space of all irreducible representations; a projection of this measure onto a 1–dimensional subspace has the distribution function equal to $D(s)=\det(1-K|_{(s,+\infty)})$, where K is as above. The study of this representation theoretic problem is the main subject of the two recent papers [Ol2], [BO5]. For a more detailed description of the problem and the results in these papers the reader is referred to §1 below.

The problem of deriving differential equations for determinants of the form $D(s)$ as above, has a long history. In their pioneering work [JMMS] in 1980, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mori, and M. Sato considered the so-called sine kernel which has the form (0.1) with $\psi(x)=1/\pi$, $A(x)=\sin x$, $B(x)=\cos x$. They showed that the determinant of the identity operator minus this kernel restricted to an interval of varying length s can be expressed through a solution of the *Painlevé V* equation. Their proof was based on the theory of isomonodromy deformations of linear systems of differential equations with rational coefficients. This theory in turn goes back to the work of Riemann, Schlesinger, Fuchs, Garnier, and others. [JMMS] used the results of [JMU] and [JM], where the theory of isomonodromy deformations was developed in a setting more general than in the classic papers mentioned above. Along with the one interval case, [JMMS] also considered the restriction of the sine kernel to a union of a finite number of intervals. They showed that the corresponding Fredholm determinant, as a function of the endpoints of the intervals, is a τ*-function* (in the sense of [JMU]) of the corresponding isomonodromy problem. In other words, it can be expressed through a solution of a "completely integrable" system of partial differential equations called the *Schlesinger equations.*

Kernels of the form (0.1) are of great interest in random matrix theory. Indeed, the Fredholm determinant related to the kernel (0.1) restricted to a domain J, with A and B being nth and $(n-1)$st orthogonal polynomials with the weight function ψ, measures the probability of having no particles in J for certain n-particle systems called *orthogonal polynomial ensembles.* Such systems describe the spectra of random unitary and Hermitian matrices. We refer the reader to [Me1] for details.

The results of [JMMS] attracted considerable attention in the random matrix community. In 1992 M. L. Mehta [Me2] rederived the Painlevé V equation for the sine kernel. Approximately at the same time, C. Tracy and H. Widom [TW1] gave their own derivation of this result. Moreover, they produced a general algorithm (see [TW4]) to obtain a system of partial differential equations for a Fredholm determinant associated with a kernel of type (0.1) restricted to a union of intervals, in the case that the functions ψ, A, B satisfy a differential equation of the form

$$\frac{d}{dx}\begin{bmatrix}\sqrt{\psi(x)}A(x)\\ \sqrt{\psi(x)}B(x)\end{bmatrix} = R(x)\begin{bmatrix}\sqrt{\psi(x)}A(x)\\ \sqrt{\psi(x)}B(x)\end{bmatrix}, \tag{0.2}$$

where $R(x)$ is a traceless rational 2×2 matrix. Using their method, they derived different Painlevé equations for a number of kernels relevant to random matrix theory [TW1]–[TW4].

Shortly after, J. Palmer [Pal] showed that the partial differential equations arising in the Tracy-Widom method are precisely the Schlesinger equations for an associated isomonodromy problem.

Among more recent papers, we mention (in no particular order) the works [AsvM], [AvM], where a different approach to the kernels arising from matrix models can be found, the paper [HS], where the Painlevé VI equation for the Jacobi kernel was derived, the paper [DIZ2], where the theory of Riemann–Hilbert problems was applied to derive the Schlesinger equations for certain kernels and to analyze the asymptotics of solutions, the paper [HI], where a multidimensional analog of the sine kernel was treated using the isomonodromy deformation method, and the papers [FW], [WF], [WFC], where, in particular, a two-interval situation was reduced to an ordinary differential equation in one variable.

Returning to our specific ${}_2F_1$ kernel, we find that our functions ψ, A, B satisfy an equation of the form (0.2) (see Remark 4.8 below).

However, the method in [TW4] leads in our case to considerable algebraic complexity, and we have not been able to see our way through the calculation. A similar situation arose in the case of the (simpler) Jacobi kernel, for which the method in [TW4] leads to a third order differential equation. This equation was shown to be equivalent to the (second order) Painlevé VI equation only in the later work of Haine and Semengue [HS]. In the face of these difficulties, we decided to look for a different approach.

The representation theoretic origin of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel suggests a new approach. It turns out that the construction of the kernel K, see §1, strongly indicates that K should have a "simple" resolvent kernel $L = K(1-K)^{-1}$. "Simple" in the sense that the formula for $L(x, y)$ should not involve any special functions! At the formal level "$\det(1-K) = (\det(1+L))^{-1}$". However, we are interested in the restricted operator $K|_{(s,+\infty)}$, and it is not at all clear that the "simple" kernel L can be used in any way to compute $D(s) = \det(1-K|_{(s,+\infty)})$. It is the *basic observation* of this paper that the kernel L can indeed be used to compute $D(s)$, and this leads, as we will see, to the desired differential equations.

In the analysis that follows, a crucial fact is that both kernels K and L are *integrable* in the sense of [IIKS]. We refer the reader to the Appendix for the definition and basic properties of integrable operators and also for the definition of a Riemann–Hilbert Problem (RHP). Our method is as follows (see §5).

Step 1. The kernel $K(x,y)$ is expressed through an explicit solution of a RHP $(\mathbb{R}, v)$, where v comes from L and is "simple".

Step 2. $D(s) = \det(1 - K|_{(s,+\infty)})$ is expressed through the solution m_s of a normalized RHP $((s,+\infty), \hat{v})$, where $\hat{v}$ involves special functions as in (0.1).

Step 3. The product $m_s m$ satisfies the RHP $(\mathbb{R} \setminus (s,+\infty) = (-\infty, s], v)$, where v is again the "simple" jump matrix occurring in Step 1.

Step 3, which is the key fact, is a consequence of the theory of integrable operators and the following elementary observation: let $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a union of two contours. Let m, m_1 be solutions of the RHP's (Σ, v), (Σ_1, v), respectively. Then $m_2 \equiv m_1 m^{-1}$ solves the RHP $(\Sigma_2, v_2 = m_+ v^{-1} m_+^{-1} = m_- v^{-1} m_-^{-1})$. Conversely, if m, m_2 are solutions of the RHP's (Σ, v), (Σ_2, v_2), then $m_1 = m_2 m$ solves the RHP (Σ_1, v).

As we will see, if v is the jump matrix associated via the theory of integrable operators with the kernel L , then $m_+ v^{-1} m_+^{-1} = m_- v^{-1} m_-^{-1}$ is the jump matrix $\hat{v}$ associated with the kernel K.

In the RH framework, differential equations are deduced from the fact that the jump matrix for the problem at hand can be conjugated to a form which does not depend on the parameters relevant for the problem. A prototypical calculation, which can be traced essentially to the beginning of the inverse scattering theory, is as follows (see, e.g., [DIZ1] and references therein). The defocusing Nonlinear Schroedinger (NLS) equation is associated with the RHP $(\Sigma = \mathbb{R}, v_{x,t} = e^{i\theta\sigma_3}\, v\, e^{-i\theta\sigma_3})$ where

$$\theta = x\zeta - t\zeta^2, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - |r(\zeta)|^2 & r(\zeta) \\ -\bar{r}(\zeta) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some *reflection coefficient* r. If m is a solution of $(\mathbb{R}, v_{x,t})$, then $\Psi = m e^{i\theta\sigma_3}$ solves the RHP $(\mathbb{R}, v)$ which is independent of x and t. It follows that $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}$ solve the same RHP and hence $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x}\,\Psi^{-1}$ and $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}\,\Psi^{-1}$ have no jump across $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}$. A short calculation then leads to the *Lax pair* $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} = P\Psi$, $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} = L\Psi$ for some polynomial matrices $P = P(\zeta)$, $L = L(\zeta)$. Cross–differentiation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}$ then leads to the NLS equation.

As we will see in §4, the jump matrix v in Steps 1 and 3 is easily conjugated to a jump matrix V which is piecewise constant. In the spirit of the above calculation for NLS, this means that a solution M of the RHP $M_+ = M_- V$ can be differentiated with respect to the variable ζ on the contour, and also with respect to s, leading as above to the relations of the form $\frac{\partial M}{\partial\zeta} = PM$, $\frac{\partial M}{\partial s} = LM$ where $P = P(\zeta)$ and $L = L(\zeta)$ are now rational. Cross–differentiation then leads to a set of differential relations. In order to extract specific equations, such as PVI for $D(s) = \det(1 - K|_{(s,+\infty)})$, we recall the result in [Pal]. As V is piecewise constant, the above equations $\frac{\partial M}{\partial\zeta} = PM$, $\frac{\partial M}{\partial s} = LM$ describe an isomonodromy deformation, and hence one can construct an associated tau–function $\tau = \tau(s)$ as in [JMU]. A separate calculation (§6) shows that in fact $D(s) = \tau(s)$, and PVI follows using calculations similar to those as in [JM, Appendix C].

The above calculations generalize immediately to the case where the interval $(s,+\infty)$ is replaced by a union of intervals J.

The idea of reducing the Riemann-Hilbert problem for m_s to a problem with a piecewise constant jump matrix has been used recently in [Pal], [HI], [DIZ2], [KH], see also [Its]. However, the method outlined above of performing the reduction seems to be new.

As noted above, the property of the kernel K which is important for us, is the existence of a simple resolvent kernel $L = K(1-K)^{-1}$. This property seems to be new and was first observed in the context of the representation theory of the infinite symmetric group $S(\infty)$ in [BO1]. In random matrix theory the operators K which arise are projection operators (of Christoffel–Darboux type), or their scaling limits. All these kernels have norm 1 and hence the operator $L = K(1-K)^{-1}$ is not defined. However, our problem has a different origin which makes it possible not only to define L, but also to express it in an explicit way [BO1], [BO5].

The method that we introduce can be used to recover the results in [TW4] for integrable operators with entries satisfying equations of type (0.2). We will illustrate the situation in the specific case of the Airy kernel in §9.

In the remainder of the paper we consider a variety of kernels similar to (0.1).

Firstly, we apply our methods to the Jacobi kernel and we prove that the determinant of the identity minus the Jacobi kernel restricted to a finite union of intervals is the τ-function of the corresponding isomonodromy problem. For the one interval case we again get the Painlevé VI equation, reproving the result of [HS].

Secondly, we apply our formalism to the so-called Whittaker kernel and its special case – the Laguerre kernel. The Whittaker kernel appeared in the works [P.I-P.V], [BO1], [Bor1] on the representation theory of the infinite symmetric group. The calculations for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel are applicable to (the simpler case of) the Whittaker kernel. We prove that the Fredholm determinant of the Whittaker kernel on a union of intervals is a τ-function of an isomonodromy problem, and we derive Painlevé V in the one interval case. This last result was proved in [Tr], and in [TW4] for the special case of the Laguerre kernel.

Finally, we observe that the ${}_2F_1$ kernel degenerates in a certain limit to a kernel which we call the *confluent hypergeometric kernel*. This kernel appears in a problem of decomposing a remarkable family of probability measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices on ergodic components, see [BO4]. It can also be obtained as a scaling limit of Christoffel-Darboux kernels for the so-called pseudo-Jacobi orthogonal polynomials, see [WF], [BO4]. We show that the Fredholm determinant in the one interval case for this kernel can be expressed in terms of a solution of the Painlevé V equation. The confluent hypergeometric kernel depends on 1 complex parameter r, and for real values of r the last result was proved in [WF]. For $r = 0$ the kernel turns into the sine kernel, which recovers the original result of [JMMS].

The paper is organized as follows. In §1 we describe the representation theoretic origin of the problem. In §2 we introduce the ${}_2F_1$ kernel and study its properties. In §3 the resolvent kernel L is defined, and the matrix m in Step 1 above is considered. In §4 we derive the Lax pair for M as above. In §5 we describe the general setting in which our method is applicable. The reader interested primarily in the derivation of the differential equations might want to start reading the paper with this section. In §6 we prove that the Fredholm determinants of kernels, satisfying the general conditions of §5, are τ-functions of associated isomonodromy problems. In §7 we solve our initial problem: the Painlevé VI equation for $\det(1-K|_{(s,+\infty)})$ is derived. §8 deals with the applications of our method to the Jacobi, Whittaker, and confluent hypergeometric kernels. §9 presents a general approach to kernels of the form (0.1) subject to (0.2), worked out in the case of the Airy kernel. Finally, the Appendix contains a brief description of the formalism of integrable operators and Riemann-Hilbert problems.

A discrete version of many of the results in this paper is given in [Bor3].

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank A. Kitaev for important discussions about this work and O. Costin and R. D. Costin for informing us of their calculations on Panilevé VI. The authors would also like to thank A. Its and G. Olshanski for many useful discussions. This research was partially conducted during the period the first author served as a Clay Mathematics Institute Long-Term Prize Fellow. The work of the first author was also supported in part by the NSF grant #DMS-9729992, and the work of the second author was supported in part by the NSF grant #DMS-0003268.

1. HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON THE INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL UNITARY GROUP

By a character of a (topological) group K (in the sense of von Neumann) we mean any central (continuous) positive definite function χ on K normalized by the condition $\chi(e)=1$. Recall that centrality means $\chi(gh)=\chi(hg)$ for any $g,h\in K$, and positive definiteness means $\sum_{i,j} z_i\bar z_j\,\chi(g_ig_j^{-1})\ge 0$ for any $z_i\in\mathbb{C}$, $g_i\in K$, $i=1,\dots,n$. The characters form a convex set. The extreme points of this set are called indecomposable characters, and the other points are called decomposable characters.

The characters of K give rise to representations in two ways.

Through the Gelfand–Naimark–Segal construction each character χ determines a unitary representation of K which will be denoted as $\Pi(\chi)$. When χ is indecomposable, $\Pi(\chi)$ is a *factor* representation of finite type in the sense of von Neumann, see [Th]. Recall that $\Pi(\chi)$ is a factor representation means that if S commutes with $\{\Pi(\chi)(g),\ g\in K\}$ and S lies in the weak closure W of $\{\Pi(\chi)(g),\ g\in K\}$, then S is a multiple of the identity. Finite type means that W carries a finite trace function.

Alternatively (see [Ol1]), set $G=K\times K$ and let $\operatorname{diag}K$ denote the diagonal subgroup in G, which is isomorphic to K. We interpret χ as a function on the first copy of K in G, and then extend it to the whole group G by the formula

$$\psi(g_1,g_2)=\chi(g_1g_2^{-1}),\qquad (g_1,g_2)\in K.$$

Note that ψ is the only extension of χ that is a $\operatorname{diag}K$-biinvariant function on G. The function ψ is also positive definite, so the GNS construction assigns to it a unitary representation which we will denote by $T(\chi)$. By its very construction, it possesses a distinguished $\operatorname{diag}K$-invariant vector.

If χ is indecomposable then $T(\chi)=T(\chi^{(\omega)})$ is irreducible. The representations of the form $T(\chi)$ with indecomposable χ's are exactly the irreducible unitary representations of the group G possessing a K-invariant vector. See [Ol1] for details.

If K is a finite or compact group then the indecomposable characters of K are all of the form

$$\chi^{\pi}(g)=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\pi(g))}{\dim\pi},\tag{1.1}$$

where π is an irreducible (finite-dimensional) representation of K, and $\dim\pi$ is its dimension. Moreover, any character can be written in a unique way as a convex linear combination of indecomposable ones:

$$\chi(g)=\sum_{\pi\in\operatorname{Irr}(K)}P(\pi)\,\chi^{\pi}(g),\qquad P(\pi)\ge 0,\qquad \sum_{\pi\in\operatorname{Irr}(K)}P(\pi)=1.\tag{1.2}$$

If $\chi = \chi^\pi$ is of the form (1.1) with an irreducible π then $\Pi(\chi) = \pi$, and $T(\chi) = \pi \otimes \overline{\pi}$, where $\overline{\pi}$ denotes the representation conjugate to π. If π acts in V then $\pi \otimes \overline{\pi}$ acts in $V \otimes V^* \sim \operatorname{End}(V)$, and $\operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ is the diag K-invariant vector for $T(\chi)$.

In particular, if $K = U(N)$, the group of $N \times N$ unitary matrices, then the irreducible representations of K are parametrized by the highest weights (see, e.g., [Zh])

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N), \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

and every character can be written in the form (1.2)

$$\chi = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N} P_N(\lambda) \chi^\lambda, \tag{1.3}$$

where χ^λ is the normalized (as in (1.1)) character of $U(N)$ corresponding to λ. Note that the coordinates of λ may be negative.

Now let $K = U(\infty)$ be the infinite-dimensional unitary group defined as the inductive limit of the finite-dimensional unitary groups $U(N)$ with respect to the natural embeddings $U(N) \hookrightarrow U(N+1)$. Equivalently, $U(\infty)$ is the group of matrices $U = [u_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^\infty$ such that all but finitely many off-diagonal entries are zero, all but finitely many diagonal entries are equal to 1, and $U^* = U^{-1}$.

A fundamental result of the representation theory of the group $U(\infty)$ is a complete description of indecomposable characters. They are naturally parameterized by the points

$$\omega = (\alpha^+, \beta^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \gamma^+, \gamma^-) \in \mathbb{R}^{4\infty+2}$$

such that

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha_1^+ \geq \alpha_2^+ \geq \cdots \geq 0, \quad \beta_1^+ \geq \beta_2^+ \geq \cdots \geq 0, \\ \alpha_1^- \geq \alpha_2^- \geq \cdots \geq 0, \quad \beta_1^- \geq \beta_2^- \geq \cdots \geq 0, \\ \gamma^+ \geq 0, \quad \gamma^- \geq 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\alpha_i^+ + \beta_i^+ + \alpha_i^- + \beta_i^-) < \infty, \quad \beta_1^+ + \beta_1^- \leq 1. \end{gathered} \tag{1.4}$$

The values of extreme characters are provided by Voiculescu's formulas [Vo]. This classification result can be established in two ways: by reduction to a deep theorem due to Edrei [Ed] about two–sided totally positive sequences, see [Boy] and [VK], and by applying Kerov–Vershik's asymptotic approach, see [VK] and [OkOl].

We denote the set of all points ω satisfying (1.4) by Ω. The coordinates α_i^+, β_i^+, α_i^-, β_i^-, γ^+, γ^- are called the *Voiculescu parameters.*

Instead of giving a more detailed description of the indecomposable characters (which is rather simple and can be found in [Vo]), we will explain why such parameterization is natural. It can be shown that every indecomposable character χ^ω of $U(\infty)$ is a limit of indecomposable characters $\chi^{\lambda(N)}$ of growing finite-dimensional unitary groups $U(N)$ as $N \to \infty$. Here $\lambda(N) = \lambda_1(N) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N(N)$ is a highest weight of $U(N)$. The label $\omega \in \Omega$ of the character χ^ω can be viewed as a limit of $\lambda(N)$'s as $N \to \infty$ in the following way.

We write the set of nonzero coordinates of $\lambda(N)$ as a union of two sequences of positive and negative coordinates:

$$\begin{gathered} \{\lambda_i(N) \neq 0\} = \lambda^+(N) \sqcup (-\lambda^-(N)), \\ \lambda^+(N) = \{\lambda_1^+(N) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k^+(N)\}, \quad \lambda^-(N) = \{\lambda_1^-(N) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l^-(N)\}, \end{gathered}$$

where $\lambda_i^+ > 0$, $\lambda_i^- > 0$ for all i, and k and l are the numbers of positive and negative coordinates in $\lambda(N)$, respectively. Note that $k+l \le N$. We now regard $\lambda^+(N)$ and $\lambda^-(N)$ as Young diagrams (of length k and l, respectively), and write them in the Frobenius notation (see [Mac, §1] for the definition):

$$\lambda^+(N) = (p_1^+(N) > p_2^+(N) > \dots \mid q_1^+(N) > q_2^+(N) > \dots),$$
$$\lambda^-(N) = (p_1^-(N) > p_2^-(N) > \dots \mid q_1^-(N) > q_2^-(N) > \dots),$$

Then, if χ^ω is a limit of $\chi^{\lambda(N)}$ as $N \to \infty$, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^+ &= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{p_i^+(N)}{N}, \quad \beta_i^+ = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{q_i^+(N)}{N}, \\ \alpha_i^- &= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{p_i^-(N)}{N}, \quad \beta_i^- = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{q_i^-(N)}{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, see [VK], [OkOl]. The parameters γ^+, γ^- can also be described in a similar manner. Since we will not be concerned with them, we refer an interested reader to [VK], [OkOl] for the asymptotic meaning of γ^+ and γ^-.

Observe that the condition $\beta_1^+ + \beta_1^- \le 1$ in (1.4) is now easily explained — it follows from the relation $q_1^+ + q_1^- = k + l - 2 \le N$.

The next question that we address is how the characters of $U(\infty)$ decompose in terms of the indecomposable ones.

Theorem 1.1 [Ol2]**.** *Let χ be a character of $U(\infty)$. Then there exists a unique probability measure P on Ω such that*

$$\chi = \int_\Omega \chi^\omega P_\chi(d\omega), \tag{1.6}$$

where χ^ω is the indecomposable character of $U(\infty)$ corresponding to $\omega \in \Omega$.

The measure P_χ is called the *spectral measure* of the character χ. The problem of finding the spectral measure for a given character χ is referred to as the *problem of harmonic analysis* for χ.

The decomposition (1.6) is the infinite-dimensional analog of (1.3).

Since the indecomposable characters χ^ω are limits of the normalized characters $\chi^\lambda(N)$ of $U(N)$, it is natural to expect that the measure P_χ from Theorem 1.1 can be approximated by discrete measures P_N from (1.3) as $N \to \infty$. To formulate the exact result we need more notation.

Define Ω^o as the set of points $\omega^o = (\alpha^+, \beta^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-) \in \mathbb{R}^{4\infty}$ satisfying the conditions (1.4). There is a natural projection $\Omega \to \Omega^o$ which consists of omitting the 2 gammas. Denote by P^o the push-forward of the measure P under this projection. As we will only be concerned with statistical quantities depending on ω^o, and not on γ^+, γ^-, it is enough to consider P^o instead of P.

For every $N = 1, 2, \dots$ define a map i_N which embeds the set of all highest weights $\lambda(N)$ of $U(N)$ into Ω^o as follows. For $\lambda(N) = (\lambda_1(N) \ge \dots \ge \lambda_N(N))$, using the above notation, we set

$$i_N(\lambda) = \left\{ \alpha_i^+ = \frac{p_i^+(N)}{N},\ \beta_i^+ = \frac{q_i^+(N)}{N},\ \alpha_i^- = \frac{p_i^-(N)}{N},\ \beta_i^- = \frac{q_i^-(N)}{N} \right\} \in \Omega^o.$$

Theorem 1.2 [Ol2]**.** *Let χ be a character of $U(\infty)$, χ_N be its restriction to $U(N)$, and*

$$\chi|_{U(N)} = \sum_{\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_N} P_N(\lambda)\,\chi^\lambda, \qquad P_N(\lambda)\ge 0, \qquad \sum_{\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_N} P_N(\lambda)=1, \tag{1.7}$$

be the decomposition of χ_N on indecomposable characters. Then the projection P^o_χ of the spectral measure P_χ of χ is the weak limit of push-forwards of the measures P_N under the embeddings i_N. In other words, if F is a bounded continuous function on Ω^o, then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_N} F(i_N(\lambda))P_N(\lambda) = \int_{\omega\in\Omega^o} F(\omega)P^o(dw).$$

Now, following [BO5], we apply the above general theory to a specific family of decomposable characters of $U(\infty)$ constructed in [Ol2]. The group $U(\infty)$ does not carry Haar measure, and hence the naive definition of the regular representation fails. The representations in [Ol2] should be viewed as analogs of the nonexisting regular representation of $U(\infty)$. A beautiful geometric construction of these representations can be also found in [Ol2].

For every $N=1,2,\dots$ and a highest weight $\lambda=(\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_N)$ set

$$P_N(\lambda) = c_N\cdot \mathrm{Dim}_N^2(\lambda)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^N f(\lambda_i-i),$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z-x)\Gamma(z'-x)\Gamma(w+N+1+x)\Gamma(w'+N+1+x)}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$c_N = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(z+w+i)\Gamma(z+w'+i)\Gamma(z'+w+i)\Gamma(z'+w'+i)\Gamma(i)}{\Gamma(z+z'+w+w'+i)},$$

where $\mathrm{Dim}_N(\lambda)$ is the dimension of the irreducible representation of $U(N)$ corresponding to λ,

$$\mathrm{Dim}_N\lambda = \prod_{i\le i<j\le N}\frac{\lambda_i-i-\lambda_j+j}{j-i},$$

see, e.g., [Zh]. Here z,z',w,w' are complex parameters such that $P_N(\lambda)>0$ for all N and λ. This implies that

(1) $z'=\overline{z}\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}$ or $k<z,z'<k+1$ for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}$;

(2) $w'=\overline{w}\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}$ or $l<z,z'<l+1$ for some $l\in\mathbb{Z}$.

We also want the series $\sum_\lambda P_N(\lambda)$ to converge, and this condition is equivalent to the additional inequality

(3) $z+z'+w+w'>-1$.

Under these conditions the choice of c_N makes P_N into a probability distribution.

Theorem 1.3 [Ol2]**.** *Let z,z',w,w' satisfy the conditions (1)–(3) above. Then there exists a character $\chi=\chi^{(z,z',w,w')}$ of $U(\infty)$ such that*

$$\chi|_{U(N)} = \sum_{\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_N} P_N(\lambda)\chi^\lambda$$

with $P_N(\lambda)$ *given by* (1.8).

In order to describe the spectral measures for $\chi^{(z,z',w,w')}$ we need to switch to a different representation for the λ's. First, we describe the measures P_N in a different way.

Consider the lattice

$$\mathfrak{X}^{(N)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & N \text{ is odd}, \\ \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, & N \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

and divide it into two parts

$$\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{X}^{(N)} = \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{in}} \sqcup \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{out}}, \\ \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{in}} = \left\{-\tfrac{N-1}{2}, -\tfrac{N-3}{2}, \dots, \tfrac{N-3}{2}, \tfrac{N-1}{2}\right\}, \quad |\mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{in}}| = N, \\ \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{out}} = \left\{\dots, -\tfrac{N+3}{2}, -\tfrac{N+1}{2}\right\} \sqcup \left\{\tfrac{N+1}{2}, \tfrac{N+3}{2}, \dots\right\}, \quad |\mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{out}}| = \infty. \end{gathered}$$

Let us associate to every highest weight $\lambda = \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N$ a finite point configuration $X(\lambda) \subset \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}$ as follows:

$$X(\lambda) = \left\{p_i^+ + \tfrac{N+1}{2}\right\} \sqcup \left\{\tfrac{N-1}{2} - q_i^+\right\} \sqcup \left\{-p_j^- - \tfrac{N+1}{2}\right\} \sqcup \left\{-\tfrac{N-1}{2} + q_j^-\right\},$$

where p's and q's are the Frobenius coordinates of the positive and negative parts of λ as explained above. Note that λ can be reconstructed if we know $X(\lambda)$.

The probability measure $P_N(\lambda)$ makes these point configurations random, and, according to the usual terminology [DVJ], we obtain a random point process. We will denote this process by $\mathcal{P}_N$.

Introduce a matrix $L^{(N)}$ on $\mathfrak{X}^{(N)} \times \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}$ which in block form corresponding to the splitting $\mathfrak{X}^{(N)} = \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{out}} \sqcup \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{in}}$ is given by

$$L^{(N)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{A}^{(N)} \\ -(\mathcal{A}^{(N)})^* & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{(N)}$ is a matrix on $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}$,

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{A}^{(N)}(a,b) = \frac{\sqrt{\psi^{(N)}_{\text{out}}(a)\psi^{(N)}_{\text{in}}(b)}}{a-b}, \qquad a \in \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{out}}, \quad b \in \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}_{\text{in}}, \\ \psi^{(N)}_{\text{in}}(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\left(\Gamma\left(-x+\frac{N+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(x+\frac{N+1}{2}\right)\right)^2}, \\ \psi^{(N)}_{\text{out}}(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{\Gamma\left(x+\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(x-\frac{N-1}{2}\right)}\right)^2 f(x), & x \geq \frac{N+1}{2}, \\ \left(\dfrac{\Gamma\left(-x+\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-x-\frac{N-1}{2}\right)}\right)^2 f(x), & x \leq -\frac{N+1}{2}, \end{cases} \end{gathered}$$

and $f(x)$ was introduced in (1.8).

Proposition 1.4 [BO5]**.** *For any highest weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N)$*

$$P_N(\lambda) = \frac{\det L^{(N)}_{X(\lambda)}}{\det(1 + L^{(N)})}\,,$$

where $L^{(N)}_{X(\lambda)}$ denotes the finite submatrix of $L^{(N)}$ on $X(\lambda) \times X(\lambda)$. Moreover, if a finite point configuration $X \subset \mathfrak{X}^{(N)}$ is not of the form $X = X(\lambda)$ for some highest weight λ, then $\det L^{(N)}_X = 0$*.*

Proposition 1.4 implies that $\mathcal{P}_N$ is a *determinantal point process* (see [So], [BOO, Appendix], [BO5] for a general discussion of such processes). In particular, this implies the following claim.

Corollary 1.5 [BO5]**.** *The matrix $L^{(N)}$ defines a finite rank (and hence trace class) operator in $\ell^2(\mathfrak{X}^{(N)})$. The correlation functions*

$$\rho^{(N)}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = P_N\{\lambda \,|\, \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset X(\lambda)\}$$

of the process $\mathcal{P}_N$ have the determinantal form

$$\rho^{(N)}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \det[K^{(N)}(x_i, x_j)]^k_{i,j=1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $K^{(N)}(x, y)$ is the matrix of the operator $K^{(N)} = L^{(N)}/(1+L^{(N)})$ in $\ell^2(\mathfrak{X}^{(N)})$.

Explicit formulas for $K^{(N)}$ can be found in [BO5].

Now we will describe the limit situation as $N \to \infty$. Define the *continuous phase space*

$$\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}^{(\infty)} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

and divide it into two parts

$$\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}} \sqcup \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}},$$
$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}} = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}\right), \quad \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}} = \left(-\infty, -\tfrac{1}{2}\right) \sqcup \left(\tfrac{1}{2}, +\infty\right).$$

To each point $\omega \in \Omega^o$ we associate a point configuration in $\mathfrak{X}$ as follows:

$$\omega = (\alpha^+, \beta^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-) \mapsto X(\omega) = \left\{\alpha^+_i + \tfrac{1}{2}\right\} \sqcup \left\{\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta^+_i\right\} \sqcup \left\{-\alpha^-_j - \tfrac{1}{2}\right\} \sqcup \left\{-\tfrac{1}{2} + \beta^-_j\right\},$$

where we omit possible zeros in $\alpha^+, \beta^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-$, and possible ones in β^+, β^-.

Let us denote by $P = P^{(z,z',w,w')}$ the spectral measure for the character $\chi^{(z,z',w,w')}$ given by Theorem 1.3, and let P^o be its push-forward to Ω^o. Then using the above correspondence between points in Ω^o and point configurations, P^o can be interpreted as a measure on the space of locally finite point configurations in $\mathfrak{X}$, that is, as a point process. We will denote this process by $\mathcal{P}$.

Since the measures P_N converge to the spectral measure P^o as $N \to \infty$ (Theorem 1.2), we should expect the correlations functions $\rho^{(N)}_k$ to converge to the correlation functions of $\mathcal{P}$ as $N \to \infty$.

For any $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ we will denote by x_N the point of the lattice $\mathfrak{X}^{(N)}$ which is closest to xN.

Theorem 1.6 [BO5]**.** *The correlation functions*

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_k(x_1,\dots,x_k)\\ &=\lim_{\Delta x_1,\dots,\,\Delta x_k\to+0}\frac{P^o\{\omega\,|\,X(\omega)\text{ intersects each interval }(x_i,x_i+\Delta x_i),\ i=1,\dots,k\}}{\Delta x_1\cdots\Delta x_k}\end{aligned}$$

of the process $\mathcal{P}$ have determinantal form

$$\rho_k(x_1,\dots,x_k)=\det[K(x_i,x_j)]_{i,j=1}^k,\quad k=1,2,\dots,$$

where $K(x,y)$ is a kernel on $\mathfrak{X}$ which is the scaling limit of the kernels $K^{(N)}(x,y)$ introduced above:

$$K(x,y)=\lim_{N\to\infty}N\cdot K^{(N)}(x_N,y_N),\quad x,y\in\mathfrak{X}. \tag{1.9}$$

The kernel $K(x,y)$ is called the *continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel* and is precisely the kernel in (0.1) for $x,y>\frac{1}{2}$. Explicit formulas for $K(x,y)$ can be found in the next section. This kernel is a real-analytic function of the parameters (z,z',w,w'). We will use the same notation for its natural analytic continuation.

It is worth noting that the correlation functions $\rho_k(x_1,\dots,x_k)$ determine the process $\mathcal{P}$ uniquely.

It is a well-known elementary observation that the probability that a determinantal point process with a correlation kernel $\mathcal{K}$ does not have particles in a given part J of the phase space is equal to the Fredholm determinant $\det(1-\mathcal{K}|_J)$, see, e.g., [So], [TW1].[1]

In what follows we study determinants of the form $\det(1-K|_J)$ where K is the continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel and J is a union of finitely many (possibly infinite) intervals.

2. CONTINUOUS ${}_2F_1$ KERNEL. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM

Following [BO5] we consider the *continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel* with parameters satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) of §1.

To avoid unnecessary complications (poles in certain formulas below), we exclude the set where $z+z'+w+w'=0$ from our consideration. Most of the results, however, can be extended to this set by analytic continuation in one of the parameters.

Recall that in §1 we introduced the space

$$\mathfrak{X}=\mathbb{R}\setminus\{\pm\tfrac{1}{2}\}$$

and divided it into two parts

$$\begin{gathered}\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}\sqcup\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}},\\ \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}=\left(-\infty,-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sqcup\left(\tfrac{1}{2},+\infty\right),\quad \mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}=\left(-\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1}{2}\right).\end{gathered}$$

---

[1] If the correlation kernel is self-adjoint and this probability is nonzero then the integral operator defined by the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is of trace class and the determinant is well-defined, see [So, Theorem 4]. For kernels which are not self-adjoint, the existence of the determinant, generally speaking, needs to be justified, see, e.g., the end of Section 2 below.

Introduce the functions

$$\psi_{\mathrm{out}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{out}} \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \psi_{\mathrm{in}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{in}} \to \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \begin{cases} C(z,z') \cdot \left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-z-z'} \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-w-w'}, & x > \frac{1}{2}\,, \\ C(w,w') \cdot \left(-x-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-w-w'} \left(-x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-z-z'}, & x < -\frac{1}{2}\,, \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x) = \left(\tfrac{1}{2}-x\right)^{z+z'} \left(\tfrac{1}{2}+x\right)^{w+w'}, \quad -\tfrac{1}{2} < x < \tfrac{1}{2},$$

$$C(z,z') = \frac{\sin(\pi z)\sin(\pi z')}{\pi^2}, \quad C(w,w') = \frac{\sin(\pi w)\sin(\pi w')}{\pi^2}.$$

Note that $C(z,z') > 0$ and $C(w,w') > 0$, so that $\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x)$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x)$ are positive.

We now define the ${}_2F_1$ kernel on $\mathfrak{X}$. It is convenient to write it in block form corresponding to the splitting $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{out}} \sqcup \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{in}}$:

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\mathrm{out,out}} & K_{\mathrm{out,in}} \\ K_{\mathrm{in,out}} & K_{\mathrm{in,in}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We set

$$K_{\mathrm{out,out}}(x,y) = \sqrt{\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x)\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(y)}\,\frac{R_{\mathrm{out}}(x)S_{\mathrm{out}}(y) - S_{\mathrm{out}}(x)R_{\mathrm{out}}(y)}{x-y}\,,$$

$$K_{\mathrm{out,in}}(x,y) = \sqrt{\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x)\psi_{\mathrm{in}}(y)}\,\frac{R_{\mathrm{out}}(x)R_{\mathrm{in}}(y) - S_{\mathrm{out}}(x)S_{\mathrm{in}}(y)}{x-y}\,,$$

$$K_{\mathrm{in,out}}(x,y) = \sqrt{\psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x)\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(y)}\,\frac{R_{\mathrm{in}}(x)R_{\mathrm{out}}(y) - S_{\mathrm{in}}(x)S_{\mathrm{out}}(y)}{x-y}\,,$$

$$K_{\mathrm{in,in}}(x,y) = \sqrt{\psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x)\psi_{\mathrm{in}}(y)}\,\frac{R_{\mathrm{in}}(x)S_{\mathrm{in}}(y) - S_{\mathrm{in}}(x)R_{\mathrm{in}}(y)}{x-y}\,,$$

where

$$R_{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \left(\frac{x+\frac{1}{2}}{x-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{w'} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} z+w',\, z'+w' \\ z+z'+w+w' \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-x}\right],$$

$$S_{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \Gamma\left[\begin{matrix} z+w+1,\, z+w'+1,\, z'+w+1,\, z'+w'+1 \\ z+z'+w+w'+1,\, z+z'+w+w'+2 \end{matrix}\right]$$
$$\times \frac{1}{x-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{x+\frac{1}{2}}{x-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{w'} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} z+w'+1,\, z'+w'+1 \\ z+z'+w+w'+2 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-x}\right],$$

$$R_{\mathrm{in}}(x) = -\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\Gamma\left[\begin{matrix} z'-z,\, z+w+1,\, z+w'+1 \\ z+w+z'+w'+1 \end{matrix}\right]$$
$$\times \left(\frac{1}{2}+x\right)^{-w} \left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)^{-z'} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} z+w'+1,\, -z'-w \\ z-z'+1 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{1}{2}-x\right]$$
$$-\frac{\sin \pi z'}{\pi}\Gamma\left[\begin{matrix} z-z',\, z'+w+1,\, z'+w'+1 \\ z+w+z'+w'+1 \end{matrix}\right]$$
$$\times \left(\frac{1}{2}+x\right)^{-w} \left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)^{-z} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} z'+w'+1,\, -z-w \\ z'-z+1 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{1}{2}-x\right],$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathrm{in}}(x) = &-\frac{\sin\pi z}{\pi}\,\Gamma\begin{bmatrix} z'-z,\; z+z'+w+w' \\ z'+w,\; z'+w' \end{bmatrix} \\
&\times\left(\frac12+x\right)^{-w}\left(\frac12-x\right)^{-z'}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} z+w',\; -z'-w+1 \\ z-z'+1 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac12-x\right] \\
&-\frac{\sin\pi z'}{\pi}\,\Gamma\begin{bmatrix} z-z',\; z+z'+w+w' \\ z+w,\; z+w' \end{bmatrix} \\
&\times\left(\frac12+x\right)^{-w}\left(\frac12-x\right)^{-z}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} z'+w',\; -z-w+1 \\ z'-z+1 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac12-x\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Here ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, x\right]$ is the *Gauss hypergeometric function*, see, e.g., [Er, Ch. 2], and the notation $\Gamma\begin{bmatrix} a,\, b,\, \dots \\ c,\, d,\, \dots \end{bmatrix}$ means $\dfrac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\cdots}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d)\cdots}$.

Note that for $z=z'$, the functions R_{in} and S_{in} are, formally speaking, not defined because of the presence of factors $\Gamma(z-z')$ and $\Gamma(z'-z)$. However, the formulas have a well-defined limit as $z\to z'$, because the second summands in the formulas for R_{in} and S_{in} are equal to the first summands with z and z' interchanged.

In the sequel we will need to know certain analytic properties of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel. We discuss these properties below.

2.1. Smoothness. $K(x,y)$ is a real-analytic function in 2 variables defined on $\mathfrak{X}\times\mathfrak{X}$. Its values on the diagonal are determined by the L'Hôpital rule:

$$
K(x,x)=\begin{cases} \psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x)(R'_{\mathrm{out}}(x)S_{\mathrm{out}}(x)-S'_{\mathrm{out}}(x)R_{\mathrm{out}}(x)), & x\in\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{out}}, \\ \psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x)(R'_{\mathrm{in}}(x)S_{\mathrm{in}}(x)-S'_{\mathrm{in}}(x)R_{\mathrm{in}}(x)), & x\in\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{in}}. \end{cases}
$$

2.2 Symmetries of R_{out}, S_{out}, R_{in}, S_{in}. All four functions R_{out}, S_{out}, R_{in}, S_{in} are invariant with respect to the transpositions $z\leftrightarrow z'$ and $w\leftrightarrow w'$. This follows easily from the above formulas and the identities

$$
{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right]=(1-\zeta)^{c-a-b}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-a,\, c-b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right],\quad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right]={}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} b,\, a \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right].
$$

Since

$$
\overline{{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right]}={}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \bar a,\, \bar b \\ \bar c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\bar\zeta\right],
$$

where the bar means complex conjugation, and the parameters (z,z'), as well as (w,w'), are either real or complex conjugate, the functions R_{out}, S_{out} and R_{in}, S_{in} take real values on $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{out}}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{in}}$, respectively.

Further, let us denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the following change of the parameters and independent variable: $(z,z',w,w',x)\longleftrightarrow(w,w',z,z',-x)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{C}(\psi_{\mathrm{out}})=\psi_{\mathrm{out}},\quad \mathcal{C}(\psi_{\mathrm{in}})=\psi_{\mathrm{in}},
$$
$$
\mathcal{C}(R_{\mathrm{out}})=R_{\mathrm{out}},\quad \mathcal{C}(S_{\mathrm{out}})=-S_{\mathrm{out}},\quad \mathcal{C}(R_{\mathrm{in}})=R_{\mathrm{in}},\quad \mathcal{C}(S_{\mathrm{in}})=-S_{\mathrm{in}}.
$$

For ψ_{out} and ψ_{in} the claim is obvious from the definition. For R_{out} and S_{out} the symmetry relation follows from the identity

$$
{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\zeta\right]=(1-\zeta)^{-a}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, c-b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{\zeta}{\zeta-1}\right]=(1-\zeta)^{-b}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{\zeta}{\zeta-1}\right].
$$

For R_{in} and S_{in} the symmetry is a corollary of the symmetries of ψ_{in}, R_{out}, S_{out}, and the branching relation (2.1) below.

2.3. Symmetries of the kernel. Since the functions $R_{\rm out}$, $S_{\rm out}$, $R_{\rm in}$, $S_{\rm in}$ take real values, the kernel $K(x,y)$ is real. Moreover, from the explicit formulas for the kernel it follows that

$$K_{\rm out,out}(x,y)=K_{\rm out,out}(y,x),\quad K_{\rm in,in}(x,y)=K_{\rm in,in}(y,x),$$
$$K_{\rm in,out}(x,y)=-K_{\rm out,in}(y,x).$$

This means that the kernel $K(x,y)$ is (formally) symmetric with respect to the indefinite metric $\operatorname{id}\oplus(-\operatorname{id})$ on $L^2(\mathfrak{X},dx)=L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\rm out},dx)\oplus L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\rm in},dx)$.

2.4. Branching of analytic continuations. The formulas for $R_{\rm out}$, $S_{\rm out}$, $R_{\rm in}$, $S_{\rm in}$ above provide analytic continuations of these functions. We can view $R_{\rm out}$ and $S_{\rm out}$ as functions which are analytic and single-valued on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathfrak{X}_{\rm in}$, and $R_{\rm in}$ and $S_{\rm in}$ as functions which are analytic and single-valued on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathfrak{X}_{\rm out}$. (Recall that the Gauss hypergeometric function can be viewed as an analytic and single valued function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus[1,+\infty)$.)

For a function $F(\zeta)$ defined on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ we will denote by F^+ and F^- its boundary values:

$$F^+(x)=F(x+i0),\qquad F^-(x)=F(x-i0).$$

We will show below that

$$\text{on } \mathfrak{X}_{\rm in}\qquad \frac{1}{\psi_{\rm in}}\,\frac{S_{\rm out}^- - S_{\rm out}^+}{2\pi i}=R_{\rm in}\,,\quad \frac{1}{\psi_{\rm in}}\,\frac{R_{\rm out}^- - R_{\rm out}^+}{2\pi i}=S_{\rm in}\,,\tag{2.1}$$

$$\text{on } \mathfrak{X}_{\rm out}\qquad \frac{1}{\psi_{\rm out}}\,\frac{S_{\rm in}^- - S_{\rm in}^+}{2\pi i}=R_{\rm out}\,,\quad \frac{1}{\psi_{\rm out}}\,\frac{R_{\rm in}^- - R_{\rm in}^+}{2\pi i}=S_{\rm out}\,.\tag{2.2}$$

We will use the following formula for the analytic continuation of the Gauss hypergeometric function, see [Er, 2.1.4(17)],

$$\begin{aligned}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a,\,b\\ c\end{matrix}\,\Big|\,\zeta\right]&=\frac{\Gamma(b-a)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-a)}\,(-\zeta)^{-a}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a,\,1-c+a\\ 1-b+a\end{matrix}\,\Big|\,\zeta^{-1}\right]\\&\quad+\frac{\Gamma(a-b)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-b)}\,(-\zeta)^{-b}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}b,\,1-c+b\\ 1-a+b\end{matrix}\,\Big|\,\zeta^{-1}\right].\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

This formula is valid if $b-a\notin\mathbb{Z}$, $c\notin\{0,-1,-2,\dots\}$, and $\zeta\notin\mathbb{R}_+$.

Both of the formulas in (2.1) are direct consequences of (2.3) and the trivial relation

$$\text{on } \mathbb{R}_-\qquad \frac{(\zeta^u)^- - (\zeta^u)^+}{2\pi i}=-\frac{\sin(\pi u)}{\pi}\,(-\zeta)^u,\quad u\in\mathbb{C}.$$

To verify the first formula of (2.2), we use the relation (2.3) for both hypergeometric functions in the definition of $S_{\rm in}$. Thus, we get 4 summands in total. After computing the jump $(S_{\rm in}^- - S_{\rm in}^+)/2\pi i$, the second and the fourth summands cancel out. As for the first and the third summands, they produce exactly $\psi_{\rm out}R_{\rm out}$, which can be seen from the identities

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)=\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi s)},\quad s\in\mathbb{C},$$

$$\frac{\sin(\pi(z+w))\sin(\pi(z+w'))}{\sin(\pi(z+z'+w+w'))\sin(\pi(z-z'))}+\frac{\sin(\pi(z'+w))\sin(\pi(z'+w'))}{\sin(\pi(z+z'+w+w'))\sin(\pi(z'-z))}=1.$$

The second part of (2.2) is proved similarly.

The restriction $b-a\notin\mathbb{Z}$ for (2.3) in our situation means that our proof works when $z'\neq z$. For $z'=z$ the result is obtained by the limit transition $z'\to z$ in (2.1) and (2.2).

2.5. Differential equations (due to G. Olshanski). We use Riemann's notation

$$P\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \\ a & b & c & \zeta \\ a' & b' & c' & \end{pmatrix}$$

to denote the two–dimensional space of solutions to the second order Fuchs' equation with singular points t_1, t_2, t_3 and exponents a, a'; b, b'; c, c', see, e.g., [Er, 2.6]. If $a - a' \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then this means that about t_1, there are two solutions of the form

$$(\zeta - t_1)^a \times \{\text{a holomorphic function}\}, \quad (\zeta - t_1)^{a'} \times \{\text{a holomorphic function}\}.$$

If $a = a'$ then the basis of the space of solutions near t_1 has the form

$$(\zeta - t_1)^a \times \{\text{a holomorphic function}\}, \quad \ln(\zeta - t_1)(\zeta - t_1)^a \times \{\text{a holomorphic function}\}.$$

The holomorphic functions above must take nonzero values at t_1. For t_2 and t_3 the picture is similar.

We always have $a + a' + b + b' + c + c' = 1$.

The Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| \zeta\right]$ belongs to the space

$$P\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 1 & \\ 0 & a & 0 & \zeta \\ 1-c & b & c-a-b & \end{pmatrix}$$

and, since it is holomorphic around the origin, it corresponds to the exponent 0 at the origin.

Riemann showed (see [Er, 2.6.1]) that

$$\left(\frac{\zeta - t_1}{\zeta - t_2}\right)^{\varkappa} \left(\frac{\zeta - t_3}{\zeta - t_2}\right)^{\mu} P\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \\ a & b & c & \zeta \\ a' & b' & c' & \end{pmatrix} = P\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \\ a+\varkappa & b-\varkappa-\mu & c+\mu & \zeta \\ a'+\varkappa & b'-\varkappa-\mu & c'+\mu & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where if $t_n = \infty$ then the factor $\zeta - t_n$ should be replaced by 1, and

$$P\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \\ a & b & c & \zeta \\ a' & b' & c' & \end{pmatrix} = P\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & \\ a & b & c & \eta \\ a' & b' & c' & \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{A\zeta + B}{C\zeta + D}, \qquad s_n = \frac{At_n + B}{Ct_n + D}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3,$$
$$A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}, \quad AD - CB \neq 0.$$

Using these facts, we immediately see that (denote $\mathfrak{S} = z + z' + w + w' \neq 0$)

$$R_{\text{out}}(x) \in P\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \infty & \frac{1}{2} & \\ w & 0 & z & x \\ w' & 1-\mathfrak{S} & z' & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, R_{out} is the only element of this space which corresponds to the exponent 0 at the infinity and has asymptotics 1 there.

Similarly,

$$S_{\text{out}}(x) \in P \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \infty & \frac{1}{2} & \\ w & 1 & z & x \\ w' & -\mathfrak{S} & z' & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}$$

and this is the only element of this space, up to a multiplicative constant, with the asymptotics $\text{const} \cdot x^{-1}$ at infinity.

Hence, by (2.1) and (2.4) we get

$$R_{\text{in}}(x) \in P \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \infty & \frac{1}{2} & \\ -w' & 0 & -z' & x \\ -w & 1+\mathfrak{S} & -z & \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{\text{in}}(x) \in P \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \infty & \frac{1}{2} & \\ -w' & 1 & -z' & x \\ -w & \mathfrak{S} & -z & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

2.6. Asymptotics at singular points. The results of the previous subsection, see (2.5)-(2.7), imply that near $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$, if $z \neq z'$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_1 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_2 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z'} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
S_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_3 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_4 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z'} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
R_{\text{in}}(\zeta) &= c_5 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_6 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z'} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
S_{\text{in}}(\zeta) &= c_7 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_8 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z'} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here and below we denote constants by the letters c_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$.

If $z = z'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_1 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_2 \ln\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right) \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
S_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_3 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_4 \ln\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right) \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
R_{\text{in}}(\zeta) &= c_5 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_6 \ln\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right) \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\
S_{\text{in}}(\zeta) &= c_7 \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right) + c_8 \ln\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right) \left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\zeta - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar formulas hold near $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}$ with the parameters (z, z') substituted by (w, w').

Since the Gauss hypergeometric function is holomorphic around the origin, the definitions of R_{out} and S_{out} imply that as $\zeta \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= 1 + O(\zeta^{-1}), & S_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_1\, \zeta^{-1}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), \\
R'_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_2\, \zeta^{-2}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), & S'_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_3\, \zeta^{-2}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), \\
R''_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_4\, \zeta^{-3}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), & S''_{\text{out}}(\zeta) &= c_5\, \zeta^{-3}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

As for R_{in} and S_{in}, the results of the previous subsection, see (2.7), imply that, as $\zeta \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\text{in}}(\zeta) &= c_1(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})) + c_2 \zeta^{-1-\mathfrak{S}}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), \\
S_{\text{in}}(\zeta) &= \begin{cases} c_2 \zeta^{-1}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})) + c_3 \zeta^{-\mathfrak{S}}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), & \mathfrak{S} \neq 1 \\ c_4 \zeta^{-1}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})) + c_5 \ln(\zeta) \zeta^{-1}(1 + O(\zeta^{-1})), & \mathfrak{S} = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

We will need the exact value of c_1 in (2.9) later on. In fact, $c_1 = 1$, and

$$R_{\mathrm{in}}(\zeta) = 1 + O(\zeta^{-1}) + O(\zeta^{-1-\mathfrak{S}}), \quad \zeta \to \infty. \tag{2.10}$$

To prove this we do a similar calculation as in the verification of (2.2) above. That is, we use the relation (2.3) for both hypergeometric functions in the definition of R_{in}. Then out of the four summands that arise, the first and the third summands give contributions of order $\zeta^{-1-\mathfrak{S}}$ and higher, while the second and the fourth ones produce a function in the variable $(\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{-1}$ holomorphic near the origin with constant coefficient 1.

Now we are ready to formulate the problem. Let

$$\begin{gathered} J = (a_1, a_2) \sqcup (a_3, a_4) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (a_{2m-1}, a_{2m}) \subset \mathbb{R}, \\ -\infty \le a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2m} \le +\infty, \end{gathered} \tag{2.11}$$

be a union of disjoint (possibly infinite) intervals inside the real line such that the closure of J does not contain the points $\pm\frac{1}{2}$. Denote by K^J the restriction of the continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel $K(x,y)$ introduced above to J. Our primary goal is to study the Fredholm determinant $\det(1 - K^J)$.

In the last part of this section we justify the existence of this determinant.

Denote

$$\begin{gathered} J_{\mathrm{out}} = J \cap \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{out}}, \quad J_{\mathrm{in}} = J \cap \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{in}}, \\ K^J_{\mathrm{out,out}} = K|_{J_{\mathrm{out}} \times J_{\mathrm{out}}}, \quad K^J_{\mathrm{in,in}} = K|_{J_{\mathrm{in}} \times J_{\mathrm{in}}}, \\ K^J_{\mathrm{out,in}} = K|_{J_{\mathrm{out}} \times J_{\mathrm{in}}}, \quad K^J_{\mathrm{in,out}} = K|_{J_{\mathrm{in}} \times J_{\mathrm{out}}}. \end{gathered}$$

Proposition 2.7. *The kernels $K^J_{\mathrm{out,out}}(x,y)$ and $K^J_{\mathrm{in,in}}(x,y)$ define positive trace class operators in $L^2(J_{\mathrm{out}}, dx)$ and $L^2(J_{\mathrm{in}}, dx)$, respectively.*

Proof. 2.1 and 2.3 above imply that the kernels $K^J_{\mathrm{out,out}}(x,y)$ and $K^J_{\mathrm{in,in}}(x,y)$ are smooth, real-valued, and symmetric. Moreover, the principal minors of these kernels are always nonnegative, because the kernel K was obtained as a limit of matrices with nonnegative principal minors, see §1. Thus, it remains to prove that the integrals

$$\int_{J_{\mathrm{out}}} K^J_{\mathrm{out,out}}(x,x)dx, \quad \int_{J_{\mathrm{in}}} K^J_{\mathrm{in,in}}(x,x)dx$$

converge. For the second integral the claim is obvious since $\overline{J_{\mathrm{in}}} \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and the integrand is bounded on J_{in}. For the first integral we need to control the behavior of the integrand near infinity (if J_{out} is not bounded). Since $\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x) = O(x^{-\mathfrak{S}})$ as $x \to \infty$, by 2.1 and (2.8) we see that

$$K(x,x) = O(x^{-2-\mathfrak{S}}), \quad x \to \infty.$$

As $\mathfrak{S} > -1$, the integral converges. $\square$

We will assume that $K^J_{\mathrm{out,in}}(x,y) = 0$ and $K^J_{\mathrm{in,out}}(x,y) = 0$ if (x,y) does not belong to the domain of definition of the corresponding kernel ($J_{\mathrm{out}} \times J_{\mathrm{in}}$ for the first kernel and $J_{\mathrm{in}} \times J_{\mathrm{out}}$ for the second one).

Proposition 2.8. *The kernel* $K_0(x,y) = K^J_{\mathrm{out,in}}(x,y) + K^J_{\mathrm{in,out}}(x,y)$ *defines a trace-class operator in* $L^2(J,dx)$.

Proof. Consider the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ acting respectively on

$$\text{(i)}\quad \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}); \qquad\qquad \text{(ii)}\quad \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(J); \qquad\qquad \text{(iii)}\quad \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\setminus \overline{J}).$$

In all three cases the operator is essentially self–adjoint, giving rise to the positive self–adjoint operators H, H_J, and $H_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\overline{J}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $L^2(J)$, $L^2(\mathbb{R}\setminus\overline{J})$, respectively. It is well known (see e.g. [RS, Theorem XI.21]) that the operator $T = (1+x^2)^{-1}(1+H)^{-1}$ is trace class in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. A direct proof can be given as follows. Let p denote the (self–adjoint) closure of $-i\frac{d}{dx}$ acting on $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty$; then $H = p^2$. Commuting $(1-ix)^{-1}$ and $(1+ip)^{-1}$ in the representation

$$T = (1+ix)^{-1}(1-ix)^{-1}(1+ip)^{-1}(1-ip)^{-1},$$

we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \left((1+ix)^{-1}(1+ip)^{-1}\right)\left((1-ix)^{-1}(1-ip)^{-1}\right) \\ &+(1+ix)^{-1}(1+ip)^{-1}(1-ix)^{-1}[x,p]\,(1-ix)^{-1}(1+ip)^{-1}(1-ip)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

But a simple computation shows that $(1+ix)^{-1}(1+ip)^{-1}$ has kernel

$$(1+ix)^{-1}\chi_0(y-x)e^{-x-y},$$

where χ_0 denotes the characteristic function of $(0,\infty)$, and as

$$\int_{y>x} (1+x^2)^{-1}e^{x-y}dxdy < \infty,$$

it follows that $(1+ix)^{-1}(1+ip)^{-1}$ is Hilbert–Schmidt. The same is true for $(1-ix)^{-1}(1\pm ip)^{-1}$, and as $[x,p]=i$, the trace class property for T follows immediately from (2.12).

For $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, set

$$g = \left((1+H)^{-1} - (1+(H_J\oplus H_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\overline{J}}))^{-1}\right)f.$$

The function g solves $(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+1)g = 0$ in the following weak sense: if $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{a_1,\dots,a_{2m}\})$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left((-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+1)\phi\right)g\,dx = 0$. It follows that in each component of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{a_1,\dots,a_{2m}\}$, g is a linear combination of the functions e^x and e^{-x}, and hence the operator $(1+H)^{-1}-(1+(H_J\oplus H_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\overline{J}}))^{-1}$ is of finite rank. As T is trace class, it follows, in particular, that $(1+x^2)^{-1}(1+H_J)^{-1}$ is trace class in $L^2(J)$.

Observe that the kernel $K_{\mathrm{out,in}}(x,y)$ has the form

$$\frac{F_1(x)G_1(y)+F_2(x)G_2(y)}{x-y}$$

for suitable functions F_i, G_j. For $x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}$, $y \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}$, set

$$K_1(x,y) = K_{\text{out,in}}(x,y) - \left(\left(\frac{F_1(x)}{x} G_1(y) + \frac{F_2(x)}{x} G_2(y) \right) \right.$$
$$\left. + \left(\frac{F_1(x)}{x^2} yG_1(y) + \frac{F_2(x)}{x^2} yG_2(y) \right) \right) V(x).$$

Here $V(x)$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ which is zero for $|x| \le L = \max\{|a_i| : |a_i| < \infty\}$, and $V(x) = 1$ for $|x| \ge L + 1$.

Finally, for $x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}$, $y \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}$ set

$$K_2(x,y) = K_1(x,y) - \sum_{|a_i|<\infty} \chi_{a_i}(x) K_1(a_i, y),$$

where the sum is taken over all the finite endpoints of J. Here $\chi_{a_i}(x)$ is a smooth function compactly supported in $\overline{J}$, which equals 1 in a neighborhood of a_i, and which vanishes at a_j for $j \ne i$. Clearly $K_2(x,y) = 0$ for $x \in \partial J$, which implies that $K_2(\,\cdot\,, y) \in \operatorname{dom} H_J$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}$. Using the decay conditions (2.8) (each differentiation with respect to x gives an extra power of decay) it follows that $(1 + H_J)(1 + x^2)K_2(x,y)$ gives rise to a bounded operator on $L^2(J)$, and hence

$$K_2 = \left((1+x^2)^{-1}(1+H_J)^{-1}\right) \left((1+H_J)(1+x^2)K_2\right)$$

is trace class. But clearly K_2 is a finite rank perturbation of $K_{\text{out,in}}$. A similar computation is true for $K_{\text{in,out}}$, and we conclude that K_0 is trace class on $L^2(J)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.8 prove that the operator

$$K^J = \begin{bmatrix} K^J_{\text{out,out}} & K^J_{\text{out,in}} \\ K^J_{\text{in,out}} & K^J_{\text{in,in}} \end{bmatrix}$$

is trace class. This shows that the determinant $\det(1 - K^J)$ is well-defined.

3. The resolvent kernel and the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Starting from this point we assume that the reader is familiar with the material in the Appendix.

As was explained in §1, see Theorem 1.6 et seq., the ${}_2F_1$ kernel K is a limit of certain discrete kernels which we denoted as $K^{(N)}$. Moreover, these discrete kernels have rather simple resolvent kernels $L^{(N)} = K^{(N)}/(1 - K^{(N)})$, see Corollary 1.5. The kernels $L^{(N)}$ are *integrable*, and, thus, the kernels $K^{(N)}$ can be found through solving (discrete) Riemann–Hilbert problems, see [Bor2].

Our first observation is that the kernel $L^{(N)}$ admits a scaling limit as $N \to \infty$. Recall that for $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ we denote by x_N the point of the lattice $\mathfrak{X}^{(N)}$ which is closest to xN.

The proof of the following Proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 3.1 [BO5]**.** *The limit*

$$L(x,y)=\lim_{N\to\infty} N\cdot L^{(N)}(x_N,y_N)\,,\quad x,y\in\mathfrak{X},$$

exists. In the block form corresponding to the splitting $\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}\sqcup\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}$*, the kernel* $L(x,y)$ *has the following representation:*

$$L=\begin{bmatrix}0 & A\\ -A^* & 0\end{bmatrix},$$

where A *is a kernel on* $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}\times\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}$ *of the form*

$$A(x,y)=\frac{\sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}(x)\psi_{\text{in}}(y)}}{x-y}\,,$$

where the functions ψ_{out} *and* ψ_{in} *were introduced at the beginning of §2.*

Now an obvious conjecture would be that $K=L(1+L)^{-1}$, and K can be obtained through a solution of the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem. Both claims are true, but under certain restrictions on the set of parameters (z,z',w,w'). We begin by showing how to obtain K from a RHP.

Observe that the formulas for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel given in §2 are identical to (A.2) in the Appendix with

$$m=\begin{bmatrix}m_{11} & m_{12}\\ m_{21} & m_{22}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}R_{\text{out}} & -S_{\text{in}}\\ -S_{\text{out}} & R_{\text{in}}\end{bmatrix},\qquad h_I=\sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}},\quad h_{II}=\sqrt{\psi_{\text{in}}}. \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, this means that the ${}_2F_1$ kernel is *integrable.* Clearly, the matrix-valued function m is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$, and as we will see, $\det m(\zeta)\equiv 1$ (see proof of Proposition 3.3 below).

Proposition 3.2. *The matrix* m *solves the Riemann–Hilbert problem* $(\mathfrak{X},v)$ *with*

$$v(x)=\begin{cases}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 2\pi i\,\psi_{\text{out}}(x)\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}, & x\in\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}},\\[2ex] \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 2\pi i\,\psi_{\text{in}}(x) & 1\end{bmatrix}, & x\in\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}.\end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

If in addition $z+z'+w+w'>0$*, then* $m(\zeta)\sim I$ *as* $\zeta\to\infty$*.*

Proof. The jump condition $m_+=m_-v$ is equivalent to (2.1), (2.2). The asymptotic relation $m\sim 1$ at infinity follows from (2.8), (2.9), (2.10). □

Note that the condition $z+z'+w+w'>0$ is only needed to guarantee the decay of $m_{12}=-S_{\text{in}}$ at infinity, see (2.9).

Now we investigate the nature of the singularities of m near the points $\pm\frac12$ of discontinuity of the jump matrix v. We will need this information further on.

Introduce the matrix

$$C(\zeta)=\begin{bmatrix}\left(\zeta-\frac12\right)^{\frac{z+z'}{2}}\left(\zeta+\frac12\right)^{\frac{w+w'}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \left(\zeta-\frac12\right)^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}}\left(\zeta+\frac12\right)^{-\frac{w+w'}{2}}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Observe that C is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]$. Furthermore, on $\left(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

$$C_-(x)(C_+(x))^{-1} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z')} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi(z+z')} \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z'+w+w')} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi(z+z'+w+w')} \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \left(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

is clearly a piecewise constant matrix.

Proposition 3.3. *(i) Assume that $z \neq z'$. Then near the point $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$*

$$m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} H_{1/2}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{z-z'}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{z'-z}{2}} \end{bmatrix} U_1, & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ H_{1/2}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{z-z'}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{z'-z}{2}} \end{bmatrix} U_2, & \Im\zeta < 0 \end{cases}$$

for some nondegenerate constant matrices U_1 and U_2 and locally holomorphic function $H_{1/2}(\zeta)$ such that $H_{1/2}(\frac{1}{2})$ is also nondegenerate.

(ii) Assume $z = z'$. Then near the point $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$

$$m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} H_{1/2}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ln\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V_1, & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ H_{1/2}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ln\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V_2, & \Im\zeta < 0 \end{cases}$$

for some nondegenerate constant matrices V_1 and V_2 and locally holomorphic function $H_{1/2}(\zeta)$ such that $H_{1/2}(\frac{1}{2})$ is also nondegenerate.

Proof. Let us assume first that $z \neq z'$. Define a new matrix $\widetilde{m}(\zeta)$ as follows

$$\widetilde{m}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta), & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i\, C(z,z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \Im\zeta < 0. \end{cases}$$

(The constants $C(z,z')$ and $C(w,w')$ were defined at the beginning of §2.)

By (3.2) we see that the jump matrix $\widetilde{v}$ for $\widetilde{m}$ locally near the point $\frac{1}{2}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i\, C(z,z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} C_- v C_+^{-1} \\ &= \begin{cases} I, & x > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i\, C(z,z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z')} & 0 \\ 2\pi i & e^{i\pi(z+z')} \end{bmatrix}, & x < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that this matrix is piecewise constant.

An easy computation shows that for a certain nondegenerate matrix U,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i\, C(z,z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z')} & 0 \\ 2\pi i & e^{i\pi(z+z')} \end{bmatrix} = U^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\pi(z-z')} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi(z'-z)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\widetilde{m}_0(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{z-z'}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{z'-z}{2}} \end{bmatrix} U$$

locally solves the RHP with the jump matrix $\widetilde{v}$.

Our conditions on the parameters (z, z', w, w') imply that $|\Re(z - z')| < 1$. Then the asymptotic formulas of subsection 2.6 imply that $\widetilde{m}$ is locally square integrable near $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$, and so are $\widetilde{m}_0$ and $\widetilde{m}_0^{-1}$, as follows from the formula above. Since $\widetilde{m}$ and $\widetilde{m}_0$ locally solve the same RHP, we obtain that $\widetilde{m}\widetilde{m}_0^{-1}$ has no jump on $\mathbb{R}$ near $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$, and it is locally integrable as a product of two locally square integrable functions. Hence, this ratio is a locally holomorphic function. We denote this holomorphic function by $H_{1/2}(\zeta)$, and set

$$U_1 = U, \qquad U_2 = U \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i\, C(z, z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As $v(x)$ in (3.2) has determinant 1, it follows that $\det m_+(x) = \det m_-(x)$. Also, as above, $\det m(\zeta) = \det \widetilde{m}(\zeta)$ is locally integrable. Thus, $\det m(\zeta)$ is entire. If $z + z' + w + w' > 0$, then as noted in Proposition 3.2, $\det m(\zeta) \to 1$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, and hence, by Liouville's theorem, $\det m(\zeta) \equiv 1$. Analytic continuation in the parameters z, z', w, w' ensures that the same is true for all (allowable) values of the parameters. The fact that $H_{1/2}(\frac{1}{2})$ is invertible now follows from the fact that $\det m(\zeta) = \det C(\zeta) \equiv 1$, and $\det U_1$, $\det U_2$ are nonzero. The proof of (i) is complete.

Assume now that $z = z'$. Then there exists a nondegenerate matrix V such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i\, C(z, z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z')} & 0 \\ 2\pi i & e^{i\pi(z+z')} \end{bmatrix} = V^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V,$$

and the local solution of the RHP with the jump matrix $\widetilde{v}$ has the form

$$\widetilde{m}_0(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ln\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V.$$

Repeating word-for-word the argument above we get (ii) with

$$V_1 = V, \quad V_2 = V \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i\, C(z, z') \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

Similarly to Proposition 3.3 we have

Proposition 3.4. *(i) Assume that* $w \neq w'$. *Then near the point* $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}$

$$m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} H_{-1/2}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{w-w'}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{w'-w}{2}} \end{bmatrix} U_1, & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ H_{-1/2}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{w-w'}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{w'-w}{2}} \end{bmatrix} U_2, & \Im\zeta < 0 \end{cases}$$

for some nondegenerate constant matrices U_1 and U_2 and locally holomorphic function $H_{-1/2}(\zeta)$ such that $H_{-1/2}(-\frac{1}{2})$ is also nondegenerate.

(ii) Assume $w = w'$. Then near the point $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}$

$$m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} H_{-1/2}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ln\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V_1, & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ H_{-1/2}(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ln\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V_2, & \Im\zeta < 0 \end{cases}$$

for some nondegenerate constant matrices V_1 and V_2 and locally holomorphic function $H_{-1/2}(\zeta)$ such that $H_{-1/2}(-\frac{1}{2})$ is also nondegenerate.

We now return to the question raised after Proposition 3.1, whether the kernel $L(x, y)$ provides a resolvent operator for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel K. The reason why we cannot immediately apply the general theory of the Appendix in this case is that the functions f_i, g_i (or $h_I = \sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}}$, $h_{II} = \sqrt{\psi_{\text{in}}}$) in the notation of the Appendix are not bounded on the contour as required by (A.1). We proceed rather by direct calculation.

First of all, we determine when the operator L is bounded.

Proposition 3.5. *The kernel $L(x, y)$ defines a bounded operator in $L^2(\mathfrak{X}, dx)$ if and only if $|z + z'| < 1$, $|w + w'| < 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the operator $A : L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}, dx) \to L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}, dx)$ with the kernel $A(x, y) = \sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}(x)\psi_{\text{in}}(y)}/(x - y)$.

If $|z + z'| \geq 1$, say, $z + z' \geq 1$, then the restriction of $A(x, y)$ to $(\frac{1}{2}, 1) \times (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ is a positive function in 2 variables bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{2}{3}\sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}(x)\psi_{\text{in}}(y)} \\ &\qquad = \tfrac{2}{3}\sqrt{C(z, z')}\left|x - \tfrac{1}{2}\right|^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}}\left|x + \tfrac{1}{2}\right|^{-\frac{w+w'}{2}}\left|y - \tfrac{1}{2}\right|^{\frac{z+z'}{2}}\left|y + \tfrac{1}{2}\right|^{\frac{w+w'}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This kernel has $(x - \frac{1}{2})^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}}$ behavior near $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, A is unbounded. Similarly, we see that A is unbounded if $z + z' < -1$ or $|w + w'| \geq 1$.

Now assume that $|z + z'| < 1$, $|w + w'| < 1$. Let χ be the characteristic function of the set $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon) \cup (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, +\infty)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then the kernel $\chi(x)A(x, y)$ defines a Hilbert–Schmidt (hence, bounded) operator on $L^2(\mathfrak{X}, dx)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}} |\chi(x)A(x, y)|^2 dxdy \\ &\qquad \leq \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}^{+\infty} \frac{\psi_{\text{out}}(x)}{(x - \frac{1}{2})^2}dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \frac{\psi_{\text{out}}(x)}{(x + \frac{1}{2})^2}dx\right) \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}} \psi_{\text{in}}(y)dy < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in order to prove that L is bounded, it is enough to show that for any compactly supported smooth functions f on $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subset [-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon]$, and g on $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}$,

$$\left|\int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}} A(x, y)g(x)f(y)\right| \leq \text{const}\, \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \tag{3.5}$$

We will assume that f is supported on $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon]$. The case when f is supported on $[-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon, -\frac{1}{2})$ is handled similarly. Assume that g is supported on $[0, \frac{1}{2})$. Let us introduce the polar coordinates (r, θ) by

$$x - \tfrac{1}{2} = r\cos\theta, \quad \tfrac{1}{2} - y = r\sin\theta, \qquad 0 \le \theta \le \tfrac{\pi}{2}, \quad 0 \le r \le r(\theta),$$

for some $r(\theta) \le \text{const} < \infty$. Then the integral above takes the form

$$\sqrt{C(z,z')} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{r(\theta)} \frac{(\cos\theta)^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}} (1+r\cos\theta)^{-\frac{w+w'}{2}} (\sin\theta)^{\frac{z+z'}{2}} (1-r\sin\theta)^{\frac{w+w'}{2}}}{\cos\theta+\sin\theta} \\ \times g\left(r\cos\theta+\tfrac{1}{2}\right) f\left(\tfrac{1}{2}-r\sin\theta\right) dr d\theta. \tag{3.6}$$

Here $r(\theta)$ is a uniformly bounded continuous function of θ. Clearly, the factors $|x+\frac{1}{2}|^{-\frac{w+w'}{2}} = (1+r\cos\theta)^{-\frac{w+w'}{2}}$ and $|y+\frac{1}{2}|^{\frac{w+w'}{2}} = (1-r\cos\theta)^{\frac{w+w'}{2}}$ are bounded on the domain of integration. Using the inequalities

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} g\left(r\cos\theta+\tfrac{1}{2}\right) f\left(\tfrac{1}{2}-r\sin\theta\right) dr \right| \le (\cos\theta\sin\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2,$$
$$\cos\theta + \sin\theta \ge 1,$$

we see that the integral (3.6) is bounded by

$$\text{const} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos\theta)^{-\frac{z+z'+1}{2}} (\sin\theta)^{\frac{z+z'-1}{2}} d\theta \cdot \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 < \text{const}\, \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

If f is supported on $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon]$ and g is supported on $(-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ then the denominator in $A(x,y)$ is bounded away from zero, and A is bounded by simple estimates. This completes the proof of (3.5) in the case that f is supported on $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon]$ and g is supported on $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. $\square$

Since $L^* = -L$, we know that if L is bounded then $(1+L)$ is invertible. It seems very plausible that whenever the operator L is bounded, the relation $K = L(1+L)^{-1}$ should hold. We are able to prove this under the additional restriction $z + z' + w + w' > 0$.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that* $z + z' + w + w' > 0$, $|z+z'| < 1$, $|w+w'| < 1$. *Then* $K = L(1+L)^{-1}$.

Proof. Since L is bounded and $L = -L^*$, L has a pure imaginary spectrum, and $1+L$ is invertible. Hence, it is enough to show that $K + KL = L$. The restrictions on the parameters and the asymptotics of the functions R_{out}, S_{out}, R_{in}, S_{in}, from subsection 2.6 imply that the relation (2.1) and (2.2) can be rewritten in the integral form:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}} \frac{\psi_{\text{out}}(x) R_{\text{out}}(x)}{x-y} dx = -S_{\text{in}}(y), \quad \int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{out}}} \frac{\psi_{\text{out}}(x) S_{\text{out}}(x)}{x-y} dx = 1 - R_{\text{in}}(y),$$
$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}} \frac{\psi_{\text{in}}(x) S_{\text{in}}(x)}{x-y} dx = 1 - R_{\text{out}}(y), \qquad \int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{in}}} \frac{\psi_{\text{in}}(x) R_{\text{in}}(x)}{x-y} dx = -S_{\text{out}}(y). \tag{3.7}$$

The 1's on the right-hand side appear because $R_{\text{out}}(\zeta) \sim 1$ and $R_{\text{in}}(\zeta) \sim 1$ as $\zeta \to \infty$. The restriction $z + z' + w + w' > 0$ is needed to ensure the convergence of the first integral at infinity. Indeed, $\psi_{\text{out}}(x) R_{\text{out}}(x) \sim x^{-z-z'-w-w'}$ as $x \to \infty$.

The identity

$$K(x,y) + \int_{\mathfrak{X}} L(x,\alpha) K(\alpha,y) d\alpha = L(x,y) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ follows directly from the relations (3.7) (see [BO2, Theorem 3.3] for a similar computation). On the other hand, by (2.8) we see that for any $g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$G(\alpha) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} K(\alpha, y) g(y) dy = K g(\alpha)$$

lies in $L^2(\mathfrak{X}, d\alpha)$. Integrating (3.8) against $g(y)$, we see that $(1+L)G = Lg$ and hence $Kg = (1+L)^{-1} Lg$ in $L^2(\mathfrak{X})$. It follows that K extends to a bounded operator $(1+L)^{-1}L = L(1+L)^{-1}$ in $L^2(\mathfrak{X})$. Conversely, we see that the bounded operator $L(1+L)^{-1}$ has a kernel action given by the ${}_2F_1$ kernel $K(x,y)$. □

Proposition 3.6 has the following corollary which will be important for us later on.

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that* $z + z' + w + w' > 0$, $|z + z'| < 1$, $|w + w'| < 1$. *Then, in the notation of §2, the operator* $1 - K^J$ *is invertible.*

Proof. In the block form corresponding to the splitting $J = J_{\text{out}} \cup J_{\text{in}}$, the operator $1 - K^J$ has the form

$$1 - K^J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - K^J_{\text{out,out}} & K^J_{\text{out,in}} \\ K^J_{\text{in,out}} & 1 - K^J_{\text{in,in}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

But it is easy to see that an operator written in the block form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if a is invertible and $(d - ca^{-1}b)$ is invertible. Therefore, it is enough to prove that

$$1 - K^J_{\text{out,out}} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - K_{\text{in,in}}) - K^J_{\text{in,out}}(1 - K^J_{\text{out,out}})^{-1} K^J_{\text{out,in}}$$

are invertible.

Proposition 3.6 and the definition of the operator L imply that

$$K_{\text{out,out}} = 1 - (1 + AA^*)^{-1}, \quad K_{\text{in,in}} = 1 - (1 + A^*A)^{-1}.$$

Hence, $K_{\text{out,out}}$ and $K_{\text{in,in}}$ are positive operators which are strictly less then 1. Thus, same is true for $K^J_{\text{out,out}}$ and $K^J_{\text{in,in}}$. In particular, $1 - K^J_{\text{out,out}}$ is invertible. Further, $K^J_{\text{out,in}} = -(K^J_{\text{in,out}})^*$. Hence,

$$(1 - K_{\text{in,in}}) - K^J_{\text{in,out}}(1 - K^J_{\text{out,out}})^{-1} K^J_{\text{out,in}} = (1 - K_{\text{in,in}}) + \text{bounded positive operator}$$

is invertible. □

Remark 3.8. It is plausible that the operator $1 - K^J$ is invertible without any restrictions on the parameters (as opposed to the full operator $1 - K$ which definitely ceases to be invertible if we remove the restrictions $|z + z'| < 1$ and $|w + w'| < 1$). However, we do not have a proof of this. In a similar but simpler situation of the Whittaker kernel we will prove the corresponding statement in §8.2 (see part (3) of Proposition 8.4 below).

4. System of linear differential equations with rational coefficients

Our goal in this section is to show that the kernel of the (trace class and hence Hilbert–Schmidt) operator

$$R^J = \frac{K^J}{1-K^J}$$

can be expressed through a solution of a system of linear differential equations with rational coefficients. This result will be crucial in our study of the Fredholm determinant $\det(1-K^J)$ in the next section.

In what follows we assume that $\mathfrak{S} = z + z' + w + w' > 0$.

As noted at the beginning of §3, K is an integrable kernel:

$$K(x,y) = \frac{F_1(x)G_1(y) + F_2(x)G_2(y)}{x-y}\,.$$

Hence, K^J is an integrable kernel. Since J is bounded away from the points $\pm\frac{1}{2}$, it is easy to see that the functions F_i, G_i (which are, in fact, the functions $\sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}}R_{\text{out}}$, $\sqrt{\psi_{\text{out}}}S_{\text{out}}$, $\sqrt{\psi_{\text{in}}}R_{\text{in}}$, $\sqrt{\psi_{\text{in}}}S_{\text{in}}$ rearranged in a certain way) belong to $L^p(J,dx) \cap L^\infty(J,dx)$ for any $p > 2\mathfrak{S}^{-1}$. This follows from (2.8), (2.9). Set

$$v_J = I - 2\pi i\, FG^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1-2\pi i\, F_1G_1 & -2\pi i\, F_1G_2 \\ -2\pi i\, F_2G_1 & 1-2\pi i\, F_2G_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $F^t(x)G(x) = F_1(x)G_1(x) + F_2(x)G_2(x) = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the operator $1-K^J$ is invertible. Then there exists a solution m_J of the normalized RHP (J, v_J) such that the kernel of the operator $R^J = K^J(1-K^J)^{-1}$ has the form*

$$R^J(x,y) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(x)\mathcal{G}_1(y) + \mathcal{F}_2(x)\mathcal{G}_2(y)}{x-y}\,,$$
$$\mathcal{F} = m_{J+}F = m_{J-}F, \quad \mathcal{G} = m_{J+}G = m_{J-}G.$$

The matrix m_J is locally square integrable near the endpoints of J.

Proof. See Proposition A.2 and the succeeding comment. □

Concerning the invertibility of $(1-K^J)$, see Corollary 3.7 and Remark 3.8 above.

Later on we will need the following property of the decay of m_J at infinity.

Proposition 4.2. *As $\zeta \to \infty$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$, we have $m_J'(\zeta)m_J^{-1}(\zeta) = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$.*

Proof. We will give the proof for $J = (s, +\infty)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$. The proof for general J is similar.

Observe that $\det v_J \equiv 1$. Then $\det m_J$ has no jump on J. Since m_J is square integrable near t, $\det m_J$ is locally integrable. Moreover, $\det m_J(\zeta) \to 1$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, because $m_J(\zeta) \to I$. Again by Liouville's theorem, $\det m_J \equiv 1$, and m_J^{-1} is bounded near $\zeta = \infty$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $m_J'(\zeta) = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$.

The proof of Proposition A.2 given in [De] implies that for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$

$$m_J(\zeta) = I - \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{m_{J+}(t)F(t)G^t(t)}{t-\zeta}\,dt = I - \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{m_{J-}(t)F(t)G^t(t)}{t-\zeta}\,dt.$$

Therefore,

$$m'_J(\zeta) = -\int_s^{+\infty} \frac{m_{J+}(t)F(t)G^t(t)}{(t-\zeta)^2}\,dt = -\int_s^{+\infty} \frac{m_{J-}(t)F(t)G^t(t)}{(t-\zeta)^2}\,dt.$$

If $\Im\zeta > \text{const}\,|\zeta|$, then $|t-\zeta| > \text{const}\,|\zeta|$. That is, the distance of the point ζ to the contour of integration is of order $|\zeta|$. Since $m_J(t)$ is bounded and $F(t)G^t(t)$ decays at infinity as a positive power of t, we see that $m'_J(\zeta) = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$.

If the point ζ is closer to the real line and, say, $\Im\zeta < 0$, we can deform the line of integration up to the line $s + te^{i\theta}$, $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. In other words,

$$m'_J(\zeta) = -\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{m_J(s+te^{i\theta})F(s+te^{i\theta})G^t(s+te^{i\theta})}{(s+te^{i\theta}-\zeta)^2}\,e^{i\theta}dt.$$

Here it is crucial that the vector–functions F and G (which are expressed in terms of the functions $\sqrt{\psi_{\rm out}}R_{\rm out}$ and $\sqrt{\psi_{\rm out}}S_{\rm out}$) have analytic continuations in the sector $0 < \arg(\zeta - s) < \theta$. Now the distance of the point ζ to the contour of integration is again of order $|\zeta|$, and the argument above again implies $m'_J(\zeta) = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$. If $\Im\zeta > 0$, the proof is similar with the line of integration deformed down. □

We now describe a general procedure (cf. Steps 1, 2, and 3 in the Introduction) to convert RHP's with "complicated" jump matrices to RHP's with "simple" jump matrices. The procedure will be used again in Sections 8 and 9 to analyze a variety of other examples of integrable kernels.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$, $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \varnothing$, is a decomposition of the oriented contour $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ into two disjoint parts. Suppose v is a function on Σ with values in $\mathrm{Mat}(k,\mathbb{C})$. Suppose m, m_1 solve the RHP's (Σ, v), (Σ_1, v), respectively. Then if m^{-1} exists, $m_2 = m_1 m^{-1}$ solves the RHP (Σ_2, v_2) where $v_2 = m_+ v^{-1} m_+^{-1} = m_- v^{-1} m_-^{-1}$. Conversely, if m, m_2 solve the RHP's (Σ, v) and (Σ_2, v_2), respectively, then $m_1 = m_2 m$ solves the RHP (Σ_1, v).*

Proof. Direct calculation. □

Recall that, as noted at the beginning of §3, the formulas for the kernel K are identical to (A.2) with m, h_I, h_{II} given by (3.1). This, in particular, means that

$$F = m_\pm f, \quad G = m_\pm^{-t} g$$

with

$$f_1(x) = g_2(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\psi_{\rm out}(x)}, & x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\rm out}, \\ 0, & x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\rm in}, \end{cases} \quad f_2(x) = g_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\rm out}, \\ \sqrt{\psi_{\rm in}(x)}, & x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\rm in}. \end{cases}$$

Note that the matrix v in (3.2) has the form $I + 2\pi i\, fg^t$.

Lemma 4.4. *If the matrix v in Lemma 4.3 has the form $v = I + 2\pi i\, fg^t$ for (arbitrary) f and g with $f^t(x)g(x) = 0$, then*

$$v_2 = I - 2\pi i\, FG^t, \tag{4.1}$$

where $F = m_+ f = m_- f$ *and* $G = m_+^{-t} g = m_-^{-t} g$.

Proof. Have

$$v_2 = m_+(I - 2\pi i\, f g^t) m_+^{-1} = I - 2\pi i\, (m_+ f)(m_+^{-t} g)^t. \quad \square$$

Let $\Sigma = \mathfrak{X}$, $\Sigma_1 = \mathfrak{X} \setminus J$, and $\Sigma_2 = J$. Now m_J solves the RHP (Σ_2, v_2) with $v_2 = v_J = I - 2\pi i\, F G^t$. But as noted above, $F = m_\pm f$, $G = m_\pm^{-t} g$, and so it follows by Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 that

$$m_1 = m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} \equiv m_J m$$

satisfies the RHP (Σ_1, v), where $v = I + 2\pi i\, f g^t$ as before. We think of v_2 as the "complicated" jump matrix and v as the "simple" jump matrix. The formula $m_J = m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} m^{-1}$ shows that the analysis of the solution of the "complicated" RHP (J, v_J) reduces to the analysis of the solutions of two "simple" RHP's $(\mathfrak{X} \setminus J, v)$ and $(\mathfrak{X}, v)$.

These two RHP's are "simple" for the following reason. Recall that in §3 we have introduced a matrix $C(\zeta)$, see (3.3).

Set $M = m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}\, C^{-1}$. This is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ which has boundary values $M_\pm(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.5. *The matrix-valued function* $M(\zeta)$ *satisfies the jump relation* $M_+ = M_- V$, *where the jump matrix* V *has the form*

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i\, C(z,z')\chi(x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z')} & 0 \\ 2\pi i \chi(x) & e^{i\pi(z+z')} \end{bmatrix}, & \frac{1}{2} > x > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi(z+z'+w+w')} & 2\pi i\, C(w,w')\chi(x) \\ 0 & e^{i\pi(z+z'+w+w')} \end{bmatrix}, & x < -\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $\chi(x) = \chi_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}(x)$ *is the characteristic function of the set* $\mathfrak{X} \setminus J$.

Proof. On $\mathfrak{X} \setminus J$ we have

$$V = M_-^{-1} M_+ = C_- v C_+^{-1} = C_- C_+^{-1} + 2\pi i\, C_- f\, (C_+^{-t} g)^t,$$

and on J we have $V = C_- C_+^{-1}$. The jump relation (3.4) and explicit formulas for C, f, and g conclude the proof. $\square$

The *important fact* about the jump matrix V is that it is *piecewise constant.* As discussed in the Introduction, this allows us to prove the following central claim.

Recall that J is a union of m intervals with endpoints $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, see (2.11).

Theorem 4.6. *The matrix* M *satisfies the differential equation*

$$M'(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{\mathcal{C}_j}{\zeta - a_j} \right) M(\zeta)$$

with some constant matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, *and* $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$. *If* $a_1 = -\infty$ *then* $\mathcal{C}_1 = 0$, *and if* $a_{2m} = +\infty$ *then* $\mathcal{C}_{2m} = 0$. *Other than that, all matrices* $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ *are nonzero.*

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{C}_j = 0,$$

$$\det\mathcal{A} = -\left(\frac{z-z'}{2}\right)^2, \quad \det\mathcal{B} = -\left(\frac{w-w'}{2}\right)^2, \quad \det\mathcal{C}_j = 0,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, 2m$, *and*

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m}\mathcal{C}_j = -\frac{z+z'+w+w'}{2}\sigma_3, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since M satisfies the jump condition with a piecewise constant jump matrix V (Lemma 4.5), M' satisfies the jump condition with exactly the same jump matrix. Therefore, $M'M^{-1}$ has no jump across $\mathfrak{X}$. (Note that

$$\det M = \det m_J \det m (\det C)^{-1} \equiv 1,$$

and hence M^{-1} exists.)

Thus, we know that $M'M^{-1}$ is a holomorphic function away from the points $\{\pm\frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}$. We now investigate the behavior of M near these points.

Near $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$, $m_J(\zeta)$ is holomorphic, and the behavior of $m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta)$ is described by Proposition 3.3. This implies, in the notation of Proposition 3.3, that for $z \neq z'$

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} m_J\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) H_{1/2}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z-z'}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z'-z}{2} \end{bmatrix} H_{1/2}^{-1}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) + O(1),$$

and for $z = z'$

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} m_J\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) H_{1/2}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} H_{1/2}^{-1}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) + O(1).$$

Similarly, near $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}$ we have the following: for $w \neq w'$

$$\begin{aligned} &M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}} m_J\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) H_{-1/2}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{w-w'}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{w'-w}{2} \end{bmatrix} H_{-1/2}^{-1}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

and for $w = w'$

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}} m_J\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) H_{-1/2}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} H_{-1/2}^{-1}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right) + O(1).$$

As for the points $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, we will prove the following claim.

Lemma 4.7. *In a neighborhood of any finite endpoint a_j, $j = 1, \dots, 2m$,*

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_j}{\zeta - a_j} + h_j(\zeta),$$

where $h_j(\zeta)$ is holomorphic near a_j, and $\mathcal{C}_j$ is a nonzero nilpotent matrix.

Let us postpone the proof of this Lemma and proceed with the proof of Theorem 4.6. Observe that if we set

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{cases} m_J\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) H_{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z-z'}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z'-z}{2} \end{bmatrix} H_{1/2}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), & z \neq z', \\ m_J\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) H_{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} H_{1/2}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), & z = z', \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{cases} m_J\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) H_{-1/2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{w-w'}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{w'-w}{2} \end{bmatrix} H_{-1/2}^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right), & w \neq w', \\ m_J\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) H_{-1/2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} H_{-1/2}^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) m_J^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right), & w = w', \end{cases}$$

then the function

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) - \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{\mathcal{C}_j}{\zeta - a_j} \right) \tag{4.2}$$

is entire. At infinity we have, using $m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} = m_J m$,

$$M'M^{-1} = m_J' m_J^{-1} + m_J m' m^{-1} m_J^{-1} + m_J m (C^{-1})' C m^{-1} m_J^{-1}.$$

We know that $m \sim I$, $m_J \sim I$, $m_J' m_J^{-1} = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$ (see Proposition 4.2), $m'm^{-1} = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$ (which follows from (2.8) and differentiation of (2.9)), and by direct computation

$$(C^{-1})'(\zeta)C(\zeta) = -\frac{z + z' + w + w'}{2}\,\sigma_3 \zeta^{-1}.$$

This implies that

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = -\frac{z + z' + w + w'}{2}\,\sigma_3 \zeta^{-1} + o(|\zeta^{-1}|).$$

Then, by Liouville's theorem, the expression (4.2) is identically equal to zero. Multiplying it by ζ and passing to the limit $\zeta \to \infty$ we see that

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \mathcal{C}_j + \frac{z + z' + w + w'}{2}\,\sigma_3 = 0.$$

The remaining properties of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ follow directly from their definitions. This concludes the proof of the Theorem modulo Lemma 4.7.

Proof of the Lemma 4.7. Let us give a proof for an odd value of j. The proof for the even j's is obtained by changing the sign of ζ. We will omit the subscript "j" in a_j and $\mathcal{C}_j$.

Near the point a the jump matrix for M has the form (Lemma 4.5)

$$(M_-(x))^{-1}M_+(x) = \begin{cases} C^o + 2\pi i\, f^o(g^o)^t, & x < a, \\ C^o, & x > a, \end{cases}$$

where $f^o = C_- f$, $g^o = C_+^{-t} g$ are locally constant vectors, and $C^o = C_- C_+^{-1}$ is a locally constant matrix. Note that $((C^o)^{-1}f^o)^t g^o = f^t g = 0$.

Set

$$\widetilde{M}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} M(\zeta), & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ M(\zeta)C^o, & \Im\zeta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(\widetilde{M}_-(x))^{-1}\widetilde{M}_+(x) = \begin{cases} I + 2\pi i\,(C^o)^{-1}f^o(g^o)^t, & x < a. \\ I, & x > a, \end{cases}$$

and we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}^o &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\,\ln(I + 2\pi i\,(C^o)^{-1}f^o(g^o)^t)\ln(\zeta - a)\right) \\ &= \exp((C^o)^{-1}f^o(g^o)^t\,\ln(\zeta - a)) = I + (C^o)^{-1}f^o(g^o)^t\,\ln(\zeta - a) \end{aligned}$$

is also a solution of this local RHP. (Here we use the fact that $\left((C^o)^{-1}f^o(g^o)^t\right)^2 = 0$.) Hence,

$$M^o(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{M}^o(\zeta), & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ \widetilde{M}^o(\zeta)(C^o)^{-1}, & \Im\zeta < 0, \end{cases}$$

is a local solution of the RHP for M near $\zeta = a$.

Since $M = m_J m C^{-1}$, m and C^{-1} are bounded near a, and m_J is square integrable near a (Proposition 4.1), we conclude that M is square integrable near a. Clearly, M^o is also locally square integrable, and $\det M^o \equiv 1$. Hence, $H_a(\zeta) \equiv M(\zeta)(M^o(\zeta))^{-1}$ is locally integrable and does not have any jump across $\mathbb{R}$ near a. Therefore, $H_a(\zeta)$ is holomorphic near a. Since $\det M \equiv 1$, H_a is nonsingular. We obtain

$$M(\zeta) = H_a(\zeta)M^o(\zeta). \tag{4.3}$$

Computing $M'M^{-1}$ explicitly we arrive at the desired claim with

$$h = H_a' H_a^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} = H_a(a)\,(C^o)^{-1}f^o g^o\,H_a^{-1}(a).$$

Since $(C^o)^{-1}f^o g^o$ is nilpotent and nonzero, the proof of Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 4.6 is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.8. Arguing in exactly the same way as we did in the proof of Theorem 4.6 above, it is not hard to prove the equation

$$(m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta))' = \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_0}{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}}\right) m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta)$$

with some constant matrices $\mathcal{A}_0$, $\mathcal{B}_0$. These matrices can be explicitly computed (as opposed to the matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}$ in Theorem 4.6!). The resulting system of differential equations is equivalent to (2.5), (2.6), (2.7).

5. General setting

As noted earlier, the arguments which we used to derive Theorem 4.6 and which we will use to derive further results, can be applied to other kernels as well (see §8 for examples). In this short section we place the results of the previous section in a general framework.

Let $K(x,y)$ be a smooth integrable kernel

$$K(x,y)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N F_j(x)G_j(y)}{x-y}\,,\quad \sum_{j=1}^N F_j(x)G_j(x)=0,$$

defined on a subset $\mathfrak{X}$ of the real line. Let us assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is finite union of (possibly infinite) disjoint intervals.

We list the conditions on the kernel K that we need.

(1) Assume we are given functions $\{f_j,g_j\}_{j=1}^N$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ for which there exists a solution m of the RHP $(\mathfrak{X},v)$ with the jump matrix

$$v=I+2\pi i\,fg^t=[\delta_{kl}+2\pi i\,f_kg_l]_{k,l=1}^N$$

such that the relations $F=m_+f=m_-f$, $G=m_+^{-t}g=m_-^{-t}g$ are satisfied.

Then necessarily

$$\sum_{j=1}^N f_j(x)g_j(x)=f^t(x)g(x)=(mf)^t(x)(m^{-t}g)(x)=F^t(x)G(x)=0.$$

For such functions $\{f_j,g_j\}_{j=1}^N$ the kernel $L(x,y)$ defined by

$$L(x,y)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N f_j(x)g_j(y)}{x-y}\,,$$

formally satisfies the relation $K=L(1+L)^{-1}$, see Proposition A.2. As we have seen in §3, it can happen that the solution m of the RHP is defined but the integral operator L in $L^2(\mathfrak{X},dx)$ given by the kernel $L(x,y)$ is unbounded. In such cases, greater care must be taken in assigning a meaning to $L(1+L)^{-1}$.

Let J be a subset of $\mathfrak{X}$ formed by a union of finitely many possibly infinite disjoint intervals:

$$J=(a_1,a_2)\cup\dots\cup(a_{2m-1},a_{2m})\subset\mathfrak{X},$$
$$-\infty\le a_1<a_2<\dots<a_{2m}\le+\infty.$$

The endpoints $\{a_j\}$ of J are allowed to coincide with the endpoints of $\mathfrak{X}$.

(2) Assume that the kernel $K^J=K|_J$ defines a trace class integral operator in $L^2(J,dx)$.

(3) Assume also that the operator $1-K^J$ is invertible in $L^2(J,dx)$.

Further,

(4) Assume that the restrictions of the functions F_j,G_j to J lie in $L^p(J,dx)\cap L^\infty(J,dx)$ for some p, $1<p<\infty$.

Then by Proposition A.2 there exists a solution m_J of the normalized RHP (J, v_J) with $v_J = I - 2\pi i F G^t$, and the kernel of the operator $R^J = K^J(1-K^J)^{-1}$ has the form

$$R^J(x,y) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{F}_j(x)\mathcal{G}_j(y)}{x-y}\,,$$
$$\mathcal{F} = (m_J)_\pm F = (m_J)_\pm m_\pm f, \quad \mathcal{G} = (m_J^{-t})_\pm G = (m_J^{-t})_\pm m_\pm^{-t} g.$$

Set $m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} = m_J m$. As in §4, we see that $m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}$ satisfies the RHP $(\mathfrak{X} \setminus J, v)$. The crucial condition is that this RHP can be reduced to a RHP with a piecewise constant jump matrix. We formulate this more precisely as follows.

(5) Assume that there exists a matrix valued holomorphic function $C : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathfrak{S} \to \mathrm{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$ such that

(a) C is invertible;

(b) $f^o = C_- f$ is a piecewise constant vector on $\mathfrak{X}$;

(c) $g^o = C_+^{-t} g$ is a piecewise constant vector on $\mathfrak{X}$;

(d) $C^o = C_- C_+^{-1}$ is an invertible piecewise constant matrix on $\mathfrak{X}$;

(e) $(C^{-1})'(\zeta)C(\zeta) = D\,\zeta^{-1} + o(|\zeta|^{-1})$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, where D is a constant matrix.

Now form the matrix $M = m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} C^{-1} = m_J m C^{-1}$. Condition (5) implies that the jump matrix for M, which is equal to

$$V = M_-^{-1} M_+ = C^o + 2\pi i f^o (g^o)^t \chi_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}$$

(cf. Lemma 4.5) is piecewise constant.

Now in order to ensure the existence of a differential equation for M with respect to ζ we need to know something about the local behavior of M near the points of discontinuity of V and near infinity.

To state the condition on the local behavior of M we have to be sure that the matrix M^{-1} exists. Note that the determinants of v and v_J are identically equal to one, because both v and v_J are equal to the identity plus a nilpotent matrix. This means that the scalar functions $\det m$ and $\det m_J$ have no jump across $\mathfrak{X}$. As m and m_J tend to I at infinity, $\det m$ and $\det m_J$ tend to 1 at infinity. Modulo certain regularity conditions on $\det m$ and $\det m_J$ near the endpoints of $\mathfrak{X}$ and J (which are always satisfied in the applications), Liouville's theorem implies that $\det m = \det m_J \equiv 1$, and the matrices m, m_J, and M are invertible.

(6) Assume that $M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = O(|\zeta - a|^{-1})$ at any endpoint a of $\mathfrak{X}$.

(7) Assume that $m'_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}(\zeta) m^{-1}_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}(\zeta) = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$ as $\zeta \to \infty$ (recall that $m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} = m_J m$).

Before going any further, we indicate where we proved that the conditions (1)–(7) hold for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel.

The condition (1) is verified in Proposition 3.2; (2) follows from Propositions 2.7 and 2.8; (3) is Corollary 3.7 (here we needed additional restrictions on the parameters z, z', w, w'); (4) is a corollary of (2.8), (2.9); (5) consists of obvious properties of the matrix (3.3); (6) follows from Proposition 3.3 and 3.4; (7) is a corollary of (2.8), (2.9), and Proposition 4.2.

Denote by $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}$ all finite endpoints of $\mathfrak{X}$ and J.

Theorem 5.1. *Under the conditions* (1)-(7) *above, there exist constant matrices* $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ *such that the matrix* M *satisfies the following linear differential equation:*

$$M'(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{B}_j}{\zeta - b_j} \cdot M(\zeta). \tag{5.1}$$

If b_j *is an endpoint of* J *but not an endpoint of* $\mathfrak{X}$ *then the corresponding matrix* $\mathcal{B}_j$ *is nilpotent and nonzero. Moreover,* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{B}_j = D$*, where the constant matrix* D *is given in* (5e)*.*

Proof. We will follow the proof of Theorem 4.6. Since M has a constant jump matrix, the matrix $M'M^{-1}$ has no jump across $\mathfrak{X}$. If b_j is an endpoint of $\mathfrak{X}$ then by (6), b_j is either a regular point or a first order pole of $M'M^{-1}$. If b_j is an endpoint of J and not an endpoint of $\mathfrak{X}$ then the proof of Lemma 4.7 (which can be repeated word-for-word in the general setting) shows that near b_j

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_j}{\zeta - b_j} + \text{a locally holomorphic function}$$

with a nilpotent constant matrix $\mathcal{B}_j$. Thus,

$$M'(\zeta)M^{-1}(\zeta) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{B}_j}{\zeta - b_j} \tag{5.2}$$

is an entire function for the (constant) matrices $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$.

Near $\zeta = \infty$,

$$M'M^{-1} = m'_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} m^{-1}_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} + m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}(C^{-1})'C m^{-1}_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J} = D\,\zeta^{-1} + o(|\zeta|^{-1}),$$

as follows from (5e) and (7). Hence, by Liouville's theorem, the function (5.2) is identically zero, and computing the terms of order ζ^{-1} at infinity we see that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{B}_j = D$. ☐

Remark 5.2. Arguing as above and replacing the condition (7) by the estimate $m'm^{-1} = o(|\zeta|^{-1})$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, one can easily prove that

$$(m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta))' = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\mathcal{B}_J^0}{\zeta - b_j^0} \cdot m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta),$$

where $\{b_j^0\}_{j=1}^l$ are the endpoints of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $\{\mathcal{B}_j^0\}$ are some constant matrices, cf. Remark 4.8.

If we allow the differential equation to have an irregular singularity at infinity, then the condition (5e) on the matrix C can be relaxed. Let us introduce the condition

(5e') $(C^{-1})'(\zeta)C(\zeta) = D + o(1)$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, where D is a constant matrix.

We can then relax the condition (7) to

(7') Assume that $m'_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}(\zeta)m^{-1}_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}(\zeta) = o(1)$ as $\zeta \to \infty$.

The following claim is proved in exactly the same way as Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.3. *Under the conditions* (1)-(4), (5a-d), (5e'), (6), (7') *above, there exist constant matrices* $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ *such that the matrix* M *satisfies the following linear differential equation:*

$$M'(\zeta) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{B}_j}{\zeta - b_j} + D \right) M(\zeta). \tag{5.3}$$

If b_j *is an endpoint of* J *but not an endpoint of* $\mathfrak{X}$ *then the corresponding matrix* $\mathcal{B}_j$ *is nilpotent and nonzero.*

Remark 5.4. Once again, if $m'm^{-1} \to 0$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, then

$$(m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta))' = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\mathcal{B}_J^0}{\zeta - b_j^0} + D \right) m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta),$$

where $\{b_j^0\}_{j=1}^l$ are the endpoint of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $\{\mathcal{B}_j^0\}$ are some constant matrices, cf. Remarks 4.8, 5.2.

6. Isomonodromy deformations. Jimbo-Miwa-Ueno τ-function

Let $M(\zeta)$ be a matrix-valued function on the complex ζ–plane satisfying a linear differential equation of the form $M'(\zeta) = B(\zeta)M(\zeta)$, where $B(\zeta)$ is a rational matrix.

Fix a fundamental solution M of this equation. In general, $M(\zeta)$ is a multivalued function. If $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ are the poles of B, then $\{b_1, \dots, b_n, \infty\}$ are the branch points for M. When we continue M along a closed path γ avoiding the branch points, the column vectors of M are changed into some linear combinations of the columns of the original matrix: $M(\zeta) \mapsto M(\zeta)X_\gamma$. Here X_γ is a constant invertible matrix depending on the homotopy class $[\gamma]$ of the path γ. Thus, X_γ's provide a "monodromy representation" of the fundamental group of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$:

$$X : \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}) \to GL(N, \mathbb{C}), \qquad [\gamma] \mapsto X_\gamma.$$

Now view the singular points $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ as variables. It may happen that moving these points a little and changing the rational matrix $B(\zeta)$ in an appropriate way, we do not change the monodromy representation. In such a case we say that we have an *isomonodromy deformation* of the initial differential equation.

For general information on isomonodromy deformations we refer the reader to [IN], [JMU].

Without loss of generality, we can assume that, in the notation of §5, the first $k \le n$ points $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ of the set $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are exactly those endpoints of J which are not the endpoints of $\mathfrak{X}$. Clearly, $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^k \subset \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$.

The following statement is immediate.

Proposition 6.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 (or Theorem 5.3), there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *with the property that moving the points* $b_1, \dots, b_k$ *within their* ϵ*-neighborhoods inside* $\mathbb{R}$ *provides an isomonodromy deformation of the equation* (5.1) *(or of the equation* (5.3)*, respectively).*

Note that the matrices $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are now functions of $b_1, \dots, b_k$.

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that the points $b_1, \dots, b_k$ cannot collide between themselves or with the other endpoints $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n$. Since the matrix $M = m_J m C^{-1}$ has nonzero determinant, this matrix can be viewed as a fundamental solution of (5.1). The monodromy of this solution, as we go along any closed curve which avoids the singular points, is equal to the product of the values of the jump matrix V or their inverses at the points where the curve meets $\mathfrak{X}$. Since V does not depend on $b_1, \dots, b_k$, the proof is complete. $\square$

In 1912, Schlesinger realized that if the matrix $B(\zeta)$ has simple poles then a deformation of b_j's preserves monodromy if and only if the residues $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}$ of B at the singular points, as functions of b_j's, satisfy a certain system of nonlinear partial differential equations. These equations are called the *Schlesinger equations.* The analogs of the Schlesinger equations in the case when B has higher order poles were derived in [JMU].

In what follows we will use the Schlesinger equations arising from the isomonodromy deformation described in Proposition 6.1. Since our situation is simpler than the general case in [JMU], it is more instructive to rederive the equations that we need, rather than to refer to the general theory.

Proposition 6.2 (Schlesinger equations). *(i) The matrices $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ from* (5.1)*, as functions in $b_1, \dots, b_k$, satisfy the equations*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_l}{\partial b_j} = \frac{[\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_l]}{b_j - b_l}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_j}{\partial b_j} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \neq j}} \frac{[\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_l]}{b_l - b_j}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $j = 1, \dots, k$, $l = 1, \dots, n$.

(ii) The matrices $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ from (5.3)*, as functions in $b_1, \dots, b_k$, satisfy the equations*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_l}{\partial b_j} = \frac{[\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_l]}{b_j - b_l}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_j}{\partial b_j} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \neq j}} \frac{[\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_l]}{b_l - b_j} - [\mathcal{B}_j, D], \tag{6.2}$$

where $j = 1, \dots, k$, $l = 1, \dots, n$.

Sketch of the proof. Since M satisfies a RHP with a constant jump matrix V, the derivative $M_{b_j} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial b_j}$ satisfies the same jump condition, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Hence, the matrix $M_{b_j} M^{-1}$ has no jump across $\mathfrak{X}$. Thus, it is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{b_j\}$. As was shown in the proof of Lemma 4.7, locally near $\zeta = b_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\zeta) = H(\zeta) \exp((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(\zeta - b_j)) \\ = H(\zeta) \left(I + (C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(\zeta - b_j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where H is holomorphic. With some additional effort, one can show that H is differentiable with respect to b_j, and differentiating with respect to b_j we see that

$$M_{b_j}(\zeta) M^{-1}(\zeta) = -\frac{H(b_j)\,(C^o)^{-1} f^o g^o\, H^{-1}(b_j)}{\zeta - b_j} + O(1) = -\frac{\mathcal{B}_j}{z - b_j} + O(1).$$

Since $M \sim I$ at $\zeta = \infty$, one can show that $M_{b_j} M^{-1} \to 0$ as $\zeta \to \infty$. By Liouville's theorem, $M_{b_j} M^{-1} + \mathcal{B}_j/(z - b_j) \equiv 0$, and

$$M_{b_j} = -\frac{\mathcal{B}_j}{\zeta - b_j} M. \tag{6.3}$$

The linear equations (5.1) and (6.3) form a *Lax pair* for (6.1).

Differentiating (5.1) with respect to b_j and (6.3) with respect to ζ, subtracting the results, and multiplying the difference by M^{-1} on the right, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_l}{\partial b_j} \frac{1}{\zeta - b_l} = \frac{1}{\zeta - b_j} \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne j}} \frac{[\mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}_j]}{\zeta - b_l}.$$

The equality of residues at the points $\{b_l\}_{l=1}^{n}$ on both sides of this identity gives (6.1). The equations (6.2) are proved in exactly the same way. □

Corollary 6.3. *In the notation of Theorem 4.6,*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial a_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{A}]}{a_j - \frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}}{\partial a_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{B}]}{a_j + \frac{1}{2}}, \tag{6.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_l}{\partial a_j} = \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{C}_l]}{a_j - a_l}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_j}{\partial a_j} = -\frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{A}]}{a_j - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{B}]}{a_j + \frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le 2m \\ l \ne j}} \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{C}_l]}{a_j - a_l}. \tag{6.5}$$

Here $j, l = 1, 2, \ldots, 2m$, and if $a_1 = -\infty$ or $a_{2m} = +\infty$ then the corresponding terms and equations are removed.

Proof. Direct application of Proposition 6.2. □

It is known that for any solution of Schlesinger equations there exists an associated remarkable 1-form ω which is closed, see [SMJ], [JMU]. For the equations (6.1), the form of ω is as follows:

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne j}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{B}_l)}{b_j - b_l} \, db_j, \tag{6.6}$$

while for the equations (6.2) the form is different:

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne j}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{B}_l)}{b_j - b_l} + \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{B}_j D) \right) db_j. \tag{6.7}$$

Definition 6.4 [JMU]**.** *A function $\tau = \tau(b_1, \ldots, b_k)$ is called a τ-function for the system of Schlesinger equations* (6.1) *(or* (6.2)*) if*

$$d \ln \tau = \omega$$

with ω given by (6.6) (*or* (6.7)*, respectively*).

The definition can be extended to the most general case of an arbitrary rational matrix $B(\zeta)$, see [JMU].

Since $d\omega = 0$, the τ-function is defined at least locally. Clearly, the τ-function is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

The following claim is a corollary of much more general statements proved in [Miw] and [Mal].

Painlevé property. *Any solutions* $\{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ *of the Schlesinger equations* (6.1) *or* (6.2) *are analytic function in* $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ *which have at most poles in addition to the fixed singularities* $b_j = b_l$ *for some* $j \neq l$.

The corresponding τ*-function is holomorphic everywhere on the universal covering manifold of*

$$\mathbb{C}^k \setminus \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \,|\, b_j = b_l \textit{ for some } j \neq l,\, j = 1, \dots, k,\; l = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let us now return to the general setting of §5. The next statement is our main result in this section.

Theorem 6.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1* (*or Theorem 5.3*), *the Fredholm determinant* $\det(1 - K^J)$ *is the* τ*-function for the system of Schlesinger equations* (6.1) (*or* (6.2), *respectively*).

Proof. We will give a proof under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, the case of Theorem 5.3 is handled similarly.

First of all, by condition (2) of §5 the operator K^J is trace class. Hence, $\det(1 - K^J)$ is well-defined. Note that $(1 - K^J)$ is invertible by condition (3). By a well–known formula from functional analysis, we have that

$$\frac{\partial \ln \det(1 - K^J)}{\partial b_j} = \pm R^J(b_j, b_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $R^J = K^J(1 - K^J)^{-1}$, the sign "+" is chosen if b_j is a left endpoint of J, and the sign "$-$" is chosen is a right endpoint of J. Thus, in order to verify that $d \ln \det(1 - K^J) = \omega$ we must prove that

$$R^J(b_j, b_j) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \neq j}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{B}_l)}{b_j - b_l}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \tag{6.8}$$

We give a proof when b_j is a left endpoint of an interval from J. The proof for the right endpoints is obtained by changing the sign of ζ.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R^J(b_j, b_j) &= \lim_{x,y \to b_j} \frac{\mathcal{G}^t(y) \mathcal{F}(x)}{x - y} = \lim_{x,y \to b_j} \frac{((m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J}^{-t})_+ g(y))^t (m_{\mathfrak{X}\setminus J})_-(x) f(x)}{x - y} \\ &= \lim_{x,y \to b_j} \frac{((M_+ C_+)^{-t} g(y))^t M_- C_- f(x)}{x - y} = \lim_{x,y \to b_j} \frac{(g^o)^t M_+^{-1}(y) M_-(x) f^o}{x - y} \\ &= (g^o)^t (M_+^{-1} M_-')(b_j) f^o. \end{aligned}$$

The local representation (4.3) of the matrix $M(\zeta)$ near the point $\zeta = b_j$ implies that

$$M(\zeta) = \begin{cases} H_{b_j}(\zeta) \exp\left((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(\zeta - b_j)\right), & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ H_{b_j}(\zeta) \exp\left((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(\zeta - b_j)\right) (C^o)^{-1}, & \Im\zeta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ near b_j,

$$\begin{gathered}
M_+^{-1} = \exp\left(-(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) H_{b_j}^{-1}, \\
M_-' = H_{b_j}' \exp\left((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) (C^o)^{-1} \\
+ H_{b_j} \frac{(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t}{x - b_j} \exp\left((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) (C^o)^{-1}, \\
M_+^{-1} M_-' = \frac{(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t (C^o)^{-1}}{x - b_j} \\
+ \exp\left(-(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) H_{b_j}^{-1} H_{b_j}' \exp\left((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) (C^o)^{-1}
\end{gathered}$$

where H_{b_j} is a function holomorphic near b_j, and $\det H_{b_j}(b_j) \neq 0$.

Since $(g^o)^t (C^o)^{-1} f^o = ((C^o)^{-1} f^o)^t g^o = 0$, we have

$$\begin{gathered}
(g^o)^t \frac{(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t (C^o)^{-1}}{x - b_j} = 0, \\
(g^o)^t \exp\left(-(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) = (g^o)^t, \\
\exp\left((C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t \ln(x - b_j)\right) (C^o)^{-1} f^o = (C^o)^{-1} f^o.
\end{gathered}$$

Therefore,

$$R(b_j, b_j) = (g^o)^t (M_+^{-1} M_-')(b_j) f^o = (g^o)^t (H_{b_j}^{-1} H_{b_j}')(b_j) (C^o)^{-1} f^o. \tag{6.9}$$

On the other hand, let us compute the right-hand side of (6.8) through C^o, f^o, g^o, and H_{b_j}. As above, locally near b_j we have

$$M'(\zeta) M^{-1}(\zeta) = H_{b_j}'(\zeta) H_{b_j}^{-1}(\zeta) + \frac{H_{b_j}(\zeta)(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t H_{b_j}^{-1}(\zeta)}{\zeta - b_j}.$$

Comparing with (5.1), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{B}_j = H_{b_j}(b_j)(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t H_{b_j}^{-1}(b_j)$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \neq j}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_l}{b_j - b_l} = H_{b_j}'(b_j) H_{b_j}^{-1}(b_j) + H_{b_j}'(b_j)(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t H_{b_j}^{-1}(b_j) \\
- H_{b_j}(b_j)(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t H_{b_j}^{-1}(b_j) H_{b_j}'(b_j) H_{b_j}^{-1}(b_j).
\end{gathered}$$

Multiplying these two relations, taking the trace of both sides, and using the fact that $(g^o)^t (C^o)^{-1} f^o = 0$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr}(H_{b_j}'(b_j)(C^o)^{-1} f^o (g^o)^t H_{b_j}^{-1}(b_j)) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \neq j}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_j}{b_j - b_l}.$$

But the left-hand side of the last equality equals the right-hand side of (6.9). This concludes the proof of (6.8). $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *Let K be the continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel of §2 and assume that*

$$z+z'+w+w'>0, \quad |z+z'|<1, \quad |w+w'|<1.$$

Then, in the notation of Theorem 4.6, $\det(1-K^J)$ *is the τ-function of the Schlesinger equations* (6.4), (6.5), *where the matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ satisfy the conditions stated in Theorem 4.6.*

Proof. Direct application of Theorem 6.5. □

Note that the restrictions on the parameters z, z', w, w' come from Corollary 3.7 (see also Remark 3.8).

7. PAINLEVÉ VI

In this section we consider the case of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel acting on $J=(s,+\infty)$ for $s>\frac{1}{2}$. We will show that the Fredholm determinant $\det(1-K_s)=\det(1-K|_{(s,+\infty)})$ can be expressed through a solution of the Painlevé VI equation. The appearance of the PVI equation is to be expected from the general results of [JMU]; the precise form of the equation is not clear in general, and requires considerable calculations, as we now show.

Our goal is to prove the following claim.

Theorem 7.1. *Let K_s be the restriction of the continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel to the interval $(s,+\infty)$, $s>\frac{1}{2}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{S}=z+z'+w+w'>0$, $|z+z'|<1$, $|w+w'|<1$. Then the function*

$$\sigma(s)=\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\frac{d\ln\det(1-K_s)}{ds}-\nu_1^2 s+\frac{\nu_3\nu_4}{2}$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}-\sigma'\left(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma''\right)^2&=\left(2\left(s\sigma'-\sigma\right)\sigma'-\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\right)^2\\&\quad-(\sigma'+\nu_1^2)(\sigma'+\nu_2^2)(\sigma'+\nu_3^2)(\sigma'+\nu_4^2),\end{aligned}\tag{7.1}$$

where

$$\nu_1=\nu_2=\frac{z+z'+w+w'}{2}, \quad \nu_3=\frac{z-z'+w-w'}{2}, \quad \nu_4=\frac{z-z'-w+w'}{2}.$$

Remarks 7.2. 1. The equation (7.1) is the so-called Jimbo-Miwa σ-version of the Painlevé VI equation, see [JM, Appendix C]. It is easily reduced to the standard form of the Painlevé VI, see [JM], [Mah].

2. As $s\to+\infty$,

$$\frac{d\ln\det(1-K_s)}{ds}\sim K(s,s)=\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(s)(R'_{\mathrm{out}}(s)S_{\mathrm{out}}(s)-S'_{\mathrm{out}}(s)R_{\mathrm{out}}(s)).\tag{7.2}$$

The error term in this asymptotic relation is of order $\int_s^{+\infty}K(s,y)K(y,s)dy$. Using the leading asymptotic terms

$$\psi_{\mathrm{out}}(s)\sim\frac{\sin\pi z\sin\pi z'}{\pi^2}\,s^{-\mathfrak{S}}, \quad R_{\mathrm{out}}(s)\sim 1, \quad S_{\mathrm{out}}(s)\sim\mathrm{const}\,s^{-1},$$

we see that $K(s,s)=O(s^{-\mathfrak{S}-2})$, and $\int_s^{+\infty}K(s,y)K(y,s)dy=O(s^{-2\mathfrak{S}-3})$. Hence,

$$\sigma(s)=-\nu_1^2 s+\frac{\nu_3\nu_4}{2}+\frac{\sin\pi z\sin\pi z'}{\pi^2}\,s^{-2\nu_1}+o(s^{-2\nu_1}).$$

This expansion determines $\sigma(s)$ uniquely as a solution of (7.1) by a result of O. Costin and R. D. Costin [Cos].

3. The restrictions $\mathfrak{S}>0$, $|z+z'|<1$, and $|w+w'|<1$ are taken from Corollary 3.7. Most likely, they can be removed from Corollary 3.7, and hence from Theorem 7.1, see Remark 3.8. Another possible way of removing these restrictions from Theorem 7.1 is to prove that the Fredholm determinant $\det(1-K_s)$ and its derivatives with respect to s, which are well-defined for all admissible sets of parameters (see the end of §2) are real-analytic function of the parameters. Then the result would follow by analytic continuation.

4. The equation (7.1) depends only on 3 independent parameters: the shifts

$$z\mapsto z+\alpha,\quad z'\mapsto z'+\alpha,\quad w\mapsto w-\alpha,\quad w\mapsto w'-\alpha$$

do not change the values of $\nu_1,\dots,\nu_4$. However, the solution of (7.1) which is of interest here, depends nontrivially on all four parameters, as can be seen from the above asymptotic expansion.

5. The proof of Theorem 7.1 follows the derivation of the Painlevé VI equation from Schlesinger equations given in [JM, Appendix C], see also [Mah] for a more detailed description.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. By Theorem 4.6, the matrix M satisfies a differential equation

$$\frac{d}{d\zeta}M(\zeta)=\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\zeta-\frac12}+\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\zeta+\frac12}+\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\zeta-s}\right)M(\zeta)$$

with some constant matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathcal{C}$, such that

$$\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{C}=0,$$

$$\det\mathcal{A}=-\left(\frac{z-z'}{2}\right)^2,\quad \det\mathcal{B}=-\left(\frac{w-w'}{2}\right)^2,\quad \det\mathcal{C}=0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C}=-\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\sigma_3,\quad \sigma_3=\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{bmatrix}.$$

By Corollary 6.3, the matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy the Schlesinger equations

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}}{\partial s}=\frac{[\mathcal{C},\mathcal{A}]}{s-\frac12},\quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{B}}{\partial s}=\frac{[\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}]}{s+\frac12},\tag{7.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{C}}{\partial s}=-\frac{[\mathcal{C},\mathcal{A}]}{s-\frac12}-\frac{[\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}]}{s+\frac12}.\tag{7.4}$$

Introduce the notation

$$\theta_{\mathcal{A}}=\frac{z-z'}{2},\quad \theta_{\mathcal{B}}=\frac{w-w'}{2}.$$

Set

$$\hat\sigma(s)=\left(s-\tfrac12\right)\left(s+\tfrac12\right)\frac{d\ln\det(1-K_s)}{ds}=\sigma(s)+\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{4}s-\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2}{2}.$$

Lemma 7.3. $\hat{\sigma}(s) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{A} + \left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{B}\right)\mathcal{C}\right].$

Proof. Follows from (6.6), Definition 6.4, and Corollary 6.6. $\square$

Write the matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ in the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{\mathcal{A}} & x_{\mathcal{A}} \\ y_{\mathcal{A}} & -z_{\mathcal{A}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{\mathcal{C}} & x_{\mathcal{C}} \\ y_{\mathcal{C}} & -z_{\mathcal{C}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7.5}$$

with

$$x_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{A}} = -\det\mathcal{A} - z_{\mathcal{A}}^2 = \theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2 - z_{\mathcal{A}}^2, \quad x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{C}} = -\det\mathcal{C} - z_{\mathcal{C}}^2 = -z_{\mathcal{C}}^2. \tag{7.6}$$

Lemma 7.4. $\hat{\sigma}' = -\mathfrak{S}z_{\mathcal{C}}.$

Proof. Lemma 7.3 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}'(s) = \operatorname{Tr}((\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B})\mathcal{C}) + \left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{C}) \\ + \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{C}) + \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{A} + \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{B}\right)\mathcal{C}'\right].\end{aligned}$$

The Schlesinger equations (7.3), (7.4) imply that last three terms vanish due to the identity $\operatorname{Tr}([X,Y]X) = 0$. Further, since $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B} = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\sigma_3 - \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^2 = 0$, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}'(s) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\sigma_3 - \mathcal{C}\right)\mathcal{C}\right) = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_3\mathcal{C}) = -\mathfrak{S}z_{\mathcal{C}}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.5.

$$\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}''(s) = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_3[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}]) = \mathfrak{S}(x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{A}} - x_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{C}}).$$

Proof. Differentiating the equality $\hat{\sigma}'(s) = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_3\mathcal{C})$ and using the equation (7.4) we get

$$\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}''(s) = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma_3\left[\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{A} + \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\right]\right).$$

Substituting $\mathcal{B} = -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\sigma_3 - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}$ and simplifying we arrive at the first equality. The second equality follows from the explicit form of matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, see (7.5). $\square$

Lemma 7.6. $(s-\frac{1}{2})\hat{\sigma}'(s) - \hat{\sigma}(s) = -\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}) = -(x_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{C}} + x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{A}} + 2z_{\mathcal{A}}z_{\mathcal{C}}).$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}'(s) - \hat{\sigma}(s) &= -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_3\mathcal{C}) - \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{A} + \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{B}\right)\mathcal{C}\right] \\ &= -\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_3\mathcal{C}) - \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{A} + \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\sigma_3 - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}\right)\right)\mathcal{C}\right] \\ &= -\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}),\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemmas 7.3, 7.4 and the relations $\mathcal{B} = -\dfrac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\sigma_3 - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^2 = 0$. The second equality follows from (7.5). $\square$

Lemma 7.7. $(s+\frac{1}{2})\hat{\sigma}'(s)-\hat{\sigma}(s)=\mathfrak{S}z_{\mathcal{A}}+\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2+\mathfrak{S}^2/4$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}) &= \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\,\sigma_3+\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A}\right)\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B})^2+\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{A}^2-\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{B}^2\right)+\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\sigma_3)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2}\,\sigma_3+\mathcal{C}\right)^2+\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{A}^2-\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{B}^2\right)+\mathfrak{S}z_{\mathcal{A}}\\
&= \mathfrak{S}z_{\mathcal{A}}+\mathfrak{S}z_{\mathcal{C}}+\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2+\mathfrak{S}^2/4,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the equalities

$$\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{A}^2=2\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2,\quad \operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{B}^2=2\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2,\quad \mathcal{C}^2=0,\quad \operatorname{Tr}\sigma_3^2=2.$$

Lemmas 7.4 and 7.6 conclude the proof. □

Now we use the following trick to derive the differential equation for σ. We learned this trick from [JM] in which the authors refer further to [Oka].

From Lemmas 7.5 and 7.6 we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{A}}-x_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{C}} &= \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}}\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}''(s),\\
-(x_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{C}}+x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{A}}) &= \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}'(s)-\hat{\sigma}(s)+2z_{\mathcal{A}}z_{\mathcal{C}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Squaring these equalities and then subtracting the first one from the second one, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
4x_{\mathcal{A}}x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{C}} &= \left(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}'(s)-\hat{\sigma}(s)+2z_{\mathcal{A}}z_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^2\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}^2}\left(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}''(s)\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

But (7.6) implies that $x_{\mathcal{A}}x_{\mathcal{C}}y_{\mathcal{A}}y_{\mathcal{C}}=(z_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2)z_{\mathcal{C}}^2$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
4(z_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2)z_{\mathcal{C}}^2 &= \left(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}'(s)-\hat{\sigma}(s)+2z_{\mathcal{A}}z_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^2\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}^2}\left(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}''(s)\right)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.7}$$

Next, Lemmas 7.4 and 7.7 provide expressions for $z_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $z_{\mathcal{A}}$ via $\hat{\sigma}(s)$. Namely,

$$z_{\mathcal{C}}=-\frac{\hat{\sigma}'(s)}{\mathfrak{S}},\quad z_{\mathcal{A}}=\frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}}\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}'(s)-\hat{\sigma}(s)-\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2+\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2-\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{4}\right).$$

Substituting these relations into (7.7) we can obtain a differential equation for $\hat{\sigma}$. But we can also rewrite everything in terms of $\sigma(s)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}''(s) &= \sigma''(s),\\
\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\hat{\sigma}'(s)-\hat{\sigma}(s) &= \left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma'(s)-\sigma(s)+\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2}{2}-\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{8},\\
z_{\mathcal{C}} &= -\frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}}\left(\sigma'(s)+\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{4}\right),\\
z_{\mathcal{A}} &= \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}}\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma'(s)-\sigma(s)-\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2}{2}-\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{8}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (7.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}^2}\left(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma''(s)\right)^2 \\ &=\frac{4}{\mathfrak{S}^2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{S}^2}\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma'(s)-\sigma(s)-\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2}{2}-\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{8}\right)^2-\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2\right)\left(\sigma'(s)+\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{4}\right)^2 \\ &\qquad -\Bigg(\left(s-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma'(s)-\sigma(s)+\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2}{2}-\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{8} \\ &\qquad -\frac{2}{\mathfrak{S}^2}\left(\left(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma'(s)-\sigma(s)-\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{A}}^2-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}^2}{2}-\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{8}\right)\left(\sigma'(s)+\frac{\mathfrak{S}^2}{4}\right)\Bigg)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Purely algebraic manipulations show that the equation above after the multiplication by $\mathfrak{S}^2\sigma'(s)$ turns into the equation (7.1). Note that in this notation

$$\nu_1=\nu_2=\frac{\mathfrak{S}}{2},\quad \nu_3=\theta_{\mathcal{A}}+\theta_{\mathcal{B}},\quad \nu_4=\theta_{\mathcal{A}}-\theta_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad \square$$

8. OTHER KERNELS

8.1. The Jacobi kernel. We introduce some notation related to the Jacobi polynomials. Our notation follows [Er, 10.8].

Let $\{P_n=P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the system of orthogonal polynomials on $(-1,1)$, $\deg P_n=n$, with respect to the weight function $w(x)=(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$, where α and β are real constants, $\alpha,\beta>-1$. The normalization is determined from the relation

$$P_n(1)=\binom{n+\alpha}{n}=\frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!},$$

where $(a)_k=\Gamma(a+k)/\Gamma(a)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. The P_n's are the *Jacobi polynomials* with parameters α and β. Let us denote by h_n the square of the norm of P_n in $L^2((-1,1),w(x)dx)$ and by $k_n>0$ the highest coefficient of P_n:

$$h_n=\int_{-1}^{1}P_n^2(x)w(x)dx,\qquad P_n(x)=k_nx^n+\{\text{ lower order terms }\}.$$

The explicit form of these constant is known, see [Er, 10.8],

$$h_n=\frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)},\quad k_n=2^{-n}\binom{2n+\alpha+\beta}{n}.$$

The Jacobi polynomials are expressed through the Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$

$$P_n(x)=\binom{n+\alpha}{n}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-n,\, n+\alpha+\beta+1\\ \alpha+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{1-x}{2}\right].$$

The *Jacobi functions of the second kind* $Q_n(x)=Q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ are defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x)=&\frac{2^{n+\alpha+\beta}\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)} \\ &\qquad\times(x-1)^{-n-\alpha-1}(x+1)^{-\beta}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}n+1,\, n+\alpha+1\\ 2n+\alpha+\beta+2\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{2}{1-x}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Q_n satisfies the same second order differential equation as P_n. The Jacobi functions of the second kind are related to the Jacobi polynomials by a number of well–known formulas, see [Er, 6.8], [Sz, §4.6] for details.

Proposition 8.1. *For any $n = 1, 2, \dots,$ take two arbitrary integers k and l such that $k + l = n$, and set*

$$z = k, \quad z' = k + \alpha, \quad w = l, \quad w' = l + \beta.$$

Then

$$\psi_{\text{out}}(x) \equiv 0, \quad \psi_{\text{in}}(x) = \frac{(1-2x)^{2k+\alpha}(1+2x)^{2l+\beta}}{2^{2n+\alpha+\beta}} = \frac{(1-2x)^{2k}(1+2x)^{2l}w(2x)}{2^{2n+\alpha+\beta}},$$

$$R_{\text{in}}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}2^n n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)}(1-2x)^{-k}(1+2x)^{-l}P_n(2x),$$

$$S_{\text{in}}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}2^n\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\beta)}(1-2x)^{-k}(1+2x)^{-l}P_{n-1}(2x).$$

Proof. Follows from the direct comparison of formulas. The relation

$$\frac{\sin(\pi z')\Gamma(z-z')}{\pi} = \frac{(-1)^k\sin(\pi(z'-z))\Gamma(z-z')}{\pi} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(1+z'-z)} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}$$

should be used along the way. □

Remark 8.2. The functions R_{out} and S_{out} can be similarly expressed through Q_{n-1} and Q_n, respectively. Since, we do not use the corresponding formulas below, we leave their derivation to the interested reader.

The *nth Christoffel-Darboux kernel* for the Jacobi polynomials is given by the formula

$$K_N^{CD}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{P_j(x)P_j(y)}{h_j} = \frac{k_{n-1}}{k_n h_{n-1}}\,\frac{P_n(x)P_{n-1}(y) - P_{n-1}(x)P_n(y)}{x-y}.$$

We define the *nth Jacobi kernel* on the interval $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ by the formula

$$K_n^{\text{Jac}}(x,y) = 2K_n^{CD}(2x,2y)\sqrt{w(2x)w(2y)}, \qquad x, y \in \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}\right).$$

The corresponding integral operator K_n^{Jac} is the orthogonal projection in $L^2((-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), dx)$ onto the n-dimensional subspace spanned by

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{2}-x\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+x\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}},\ x\left(\tfrac{1}{2}-x\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+x\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}},\ \dots,\ x^{n-1}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}-x\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+x\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}.$$

Proposition 8.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 8.1,*

$$K_{\text{out,out}} = K_{\text{out,in}} = K_{\text{in,out}} = 0, \quad K_{\text{in,in}} = K_n^{\text{Jac}},$$

where K is the continuous ${}_2F_1$ kernel.

Proof. The vanishing follows from the vanishing of ψ_{out}, which, in turn, follows from the vanishing of $\sin\pi z$ and $\sin\pi w$. The equality $K_{\text{in,in}} = K_n^{\text{Jac}}$ follows from the definition of both kernels and Proposition 8.1. □

Thus, the Jacobi kernel can be viewed as a special case of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel. Our next step is to extend the results of §6 and §7 to this kernel.

Let $J = (a_1, a_2) \cup \dots \cup (a_{2m-1}, a_{2m})$ be a finite union of disjoint intervals inside $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. It may happen that $a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $a_{2m} = \frac{1}{2}$. However, we require J to be a proper subset of $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proposition 8.4. *Assume that* $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$. *Then the Jacobi kernel satisfies the conditions* (1)–(7) *of* §*5.*

Proof. (1) follows from the fact that K_n^{Jac} coincides with the ${}_2F_1$ kernel for a specific set of parameters (Proposition 8.3), and for that kernel the condition was verified in Proposition 3.2.

(2) is obvious, since K_n^{Jac} is a finite rank operator.

(3) follows from the fact that K_n^{Jac} is a projection on a finite-dimensional space, and the range of this projection intersects $L^2(J, dx)$ trivially (here we used the condition that J is a proper subset of $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$).

(4) follows from the explicit form of the kernel (here we use the condition $\alpha, \beta > 0$, which guarantees the boundedness near the points $\pm\frac{1}{2}$).

(5) is exactly the same as for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel.

(6) is the only nontrivial condition. If $a_1 \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $a_{2m} \neq \frac{1}{2}$ then the claim follows from Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, as for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel. Now assume that $a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$. Since $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, Proposition 3.4 implies that $m(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta)$ is locally in L^4 on any smooth curve passing through $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}$. By Proposition A.2, m_J is locally L^2, hence, $M = m_J m C^{-1}$ is locally in $L^{4/3}$.

The jump matrix V for M locally near $-\frac{1}{2}$ coincides with the jump matrix $C^o = C_- C_+^{-1} = C_+^{-1} C_-$ for C^{-1}, see §5. This means that $H(\zeta)C^{-1}(\zeta)$ is a local solution of the RHP for M for any locally holomorphic $H(\zeta)$. Set $M^o = HC^{-1}$ with

$$H(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} (\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{w} & 0 \\ 0 & (\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{-w} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that H has no branch at $-\frac{1}{2}$, because $w = l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$M^o(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} (\zeta - \frac{1}{2})^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}} (\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{w-w'}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (\zeta - \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{z+z'}{2}} (\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{w'-w}{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, $(M^o(\zeta))^{-1}$ (as well as $M^o(\zeta)$) is locally in L^4, because $w' - w = \beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Thus, $M(M^o)^{-1}$ is a locally L^1 function with no jump across $\mathbb{R}$. This means that near $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$

$$M(\zeta) = H_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} (\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (\zeta + \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some locally holomorphic function $H_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta)$ such that $H_{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\frac{1}{2})$ is nonsingular. Hence

$$M' M^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}} + \text{ a locally holomorphic function },$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ has eigenvalues $\beta/2$ and $-\beta/2$.

The argument in the case $a_{2m} = \frac{1}{2}$ is similar, and the eigenvalues of the residue $\mathcal{A}$ of $M' M^{-1}$ at $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$ are equal to $\alpha/2$ and $-\alpha/2$.

Finally, the condition (7) for the Jacobi kernel follows from that for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel. □

Now, by Theorem 5.1, for $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the matrix M corresponding to the Jacobi kernel, satisfies the differential equation (cf. Theorem 4.6)

$$M'(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\zeta + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{\mathcal{C}_j}{\zeta - a_j} \right) M(\zeta)$$

for some constant matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, and $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$. If $a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ then $\mathcal{C}_1 = 0$, and if $a_{2m} = \frac{1}{2}$ then $\mathcal{C}_{2m} = 0$.

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{C}_j = 0,$$

$$\det\mathcal{A} = -\alpha^2/4, \quad \det\mathcal{B} = -\beta^2/4, \quad \det\mathcal{C}_j = 0,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, 2m$, and

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \mathcal{C}_j = -n - \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\,\sigma_3, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further, by Proposition 6.2 the matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, satisfy the Schlesinger equations (6.4) and (6.5). Finally, Theorem 6.5 implies

Theorem 8.5. *Assume that* $0 < \alpha, \beta < \frac{1}{2}$. *Then the Fredholm determinant* $\det(1 - K_n^{\mathrm{Jac}}|_J)$, *where* K_n^{Jac} *is the Jacobi kernel, is the* τ*-function for the system of Schlesinger equations* (6.4), (6.5) *with matrices* $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ *satisfying the conditions stated above.*

Similarly to the ${}_2F_1$ kernel, the cases when $J = (-\frac{1}{2}, s)$ or $J = (s, \frac{1}{2})$ lead to the Painlevé VI equation. Note that there are no restrictions on α and β.

Theorem 8.6 [HS]**.** *Let* K_s *be the restriction of the Jacobi kernel* K_n^{Jac} *kernel to either the interval* $(-\frac{1}{2}, s)$, $s < 1$, *or to the interval* $(s, \frac{1}{2})$, $s > -1$. *Then the function*

$$\sigma(s) = \left(s - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s + \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\frac{d \ln\det(1 - K_s)}{ds} - \left(n + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)^2 s + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{8}$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma'\left(\left(s - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\left(s + \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\sigma''\right)^2 &= \left(2\left(s\sigma' - \sigma\right)\sigma' - \nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\right)^2 \\ &\quad -(\sigma' + \nu_1^2)(\sigma' + \nu_2^2)(\sigma' + \nu_3^2)(\sigma' + \nu_4^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 = n + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}, \quad \nu_3 = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}, \quad \nu_4 = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}.$$

Proof. Simply repeat the proof of Theorem 7.1. Note that in this way we only prove the theorem for $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. But for the finite-dimensional Jacobi kernel it is obvious that the determinant $\det(I - K_s)$ and all its derivatives depend on the parameters α and β analytically. That is the reason why we can remove the additional restrictions on α and β. □

8.2. The Whittaker kernel. The Whittaker kernel, which we are about to introduce, plays the same role in harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group as the ${}_2F_1$ kernel plays in the harmonic analysis on the infinite-dimensional unitary group, see §1. The problem for the infinite symmetric group was investigated by G. Olshanski and one of the authors in a series of papers, see [P.I-P.V], [BO1–3], [Bor1]. For a brief summary we refer the reader to [BO1], [BO3, §3], [Bor1, Introduction].

Split the space $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ into two parts

$$\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_+ \sqcup \mathfrak{X}_-, \qquad \mathfrak{X}_+ = \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathfrak{X}_- = \mathbb{R}_-.$$

Let z, z' be two complex nonintegral numbers such that either $z' = \bar{z}$, or z and z' are both real and $k < z, z' < k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The functions

$$\psi_+ : \mathfrak{X}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \psi_- : \mathfrak{X}_- \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

are defined by the formulas

$$\psi_+(x) = C(z,z') x^{-z-z'} e^{-x}, \quad \psi_-(x) = (-x)^{z+z'} e^{x},$$

where $C(z,z') = \sin \pi z \sin \pi z' / \pi^2$, as before. The Whittaker kernel is a kernel on $\mathfrak{X}$, which in block form

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_{+,+} & K_{+,-} \\ K_{-,+} & K_{-,-} \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to the splitting $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_+ \sqcup \mathfrak{X}_-$, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{+,+}(x,y) &= \sqrt{\psi_+(x)\psi_+(y)}\, \frac{R_+(x)S_+(y) - S_+(x)R_+(y)}{x-y}, \\
K_{+,-}(x,y) &= \sqrt{\psi_+(x)\psi_-(y)}\, \frac{R_+(x)R_-(y) - S_+(x)S_-(y)}{x-y}, \\
K_{-,+}(x,y) &= \sqrt{\psi_-(x)\psi_+(y)}\, \frac{R_-(x)R_+(y) - S_-(x)S_+(y)}{x-y}, \\
K_{-,-}(x,y) &= \sqrt{\psi_-(x)\psi_-(y)}\, \frac{R_-(x)S_-(y) - S_-(x)R_-(y)}{x-y},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_+(x) &= x^{\frac{z+z'-1}{2}} e^{\frac{x}{2}}\, W_{\frac{-z-z'+1}{2}, \frac{z-z'}{2}}(x), \\
S_+(x) &= \Gamma(z+1)\Gamma(z'+1)\, x^{\frac{z+z'-1}{2}} e^{\frac{x}{2}}\, W_{\frac{-z-z'-1}{2}, \frac{z-z'}{2}}(x), \\
R_-(x) &= (-x)^{\frac{-z-z'-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}\, W_{\frac{z+z'+1}{2}, \frac{z-z'}{2}}(-x), \\
S_-(x) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z')} (-x)^{\frac{-z-z'-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}\, W_{\frac{z+z'-1}{2}, \frac{z-z'}{2}}(-x).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $W_{\kappa,\mu}(x)$ is the Whittaker function, see [Er, 6.9].

In the definition of the Whittaker kernel above we have switched the signs of the parameters z and z', compared to the standard notation. The reason for the switch is the following.

Proposition 8.7. *The Whittaker kernel K^W can be realized as a scaling limit of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel K^F,*

$$K^W(x,y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon \cdot K^F\left(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon x, \tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon y\right), \quad x,\, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

where for the $_2F_1$ kernel we set $w = \varepsilon^{-1}$, $w' = 0$, and the parameters (z, z') for both kernels are the same.

Proof. Using the well-known limit relations $(a, b, c \in \mathbb{C})$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0}(1 + a\varepsilon)^{1/\varepsilon} = e^a, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} \varepsilon^{a-b}\frac{\Gamma(a + \varepsilon^{-1})}{\Gamma(b + \varepsilon^{-1})} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ 1/\varepsilon + c\end{matrix}\,\middle|\, -\frac{1}{\varepsilon x}\right] = x^{\frac{a+b-1}{2}} e^{\frac{x}{2}} W_{\frac{-a-b+1}{2}\ \frac{a-b}{2}}(x), \quad x \notin \mathbb{R}_-,$$

we see that (remember $w = \varepsilon^{-1}$, $w' = 0$)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} \varepsilon^{z+z'}\psi_{\text{out}}\left(\tfrac12 + \varepsilon x\right) = \psi_+(x), \quad x > 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} \varepsilon^{-z-z'}\psi_{\text{in}}\left(\tfrac12 + \varepsilon x\right) = \psi_-(x), \quad x < 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} R_{\text{out}}\left(\tfrac12 + \varepsilon x\right) = R_+(x), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} \varepsilon^{-z-z'} S_{\text{out}}\left(\tfrac12 + \varepsilon x\right) = S_+(x), \quad x > 0.$$

Further, if we identify R_+ and S_+ with their analytic continuations, then on $\mathbb{R}_-$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\psi_-}\,\frac{S_+^- - S_-^+}{2\pi i} = R_-\,, \quad \frac{1}{\psi_-}\,\frac{R_+^- - R_+^+}{2\pi i} = S_-\,,$$

where for we denote by F^+ and F^- the boundary values of a function F:

$$F^+(x) = F(x + i0), \qquad F^-(x) = F(x - i0),$$

see [Er, 6.5(7), 6.8(15), 6.9(4)]. Comparing these relations with (2.1), we conclude that, for $x < 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} R_{\text{in}}\left(\tfrac12 + \varepsilon x\right) = R_-(x), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} \varepsilon^{z+z'} S_{\text{in}}\left(\tfrac12 + \varepsilon x\right) = S_-(x).$$

The result now follows from the explicit form of the kernels. □

Let $J = (a_1, a_2) \cup \cdots \cup (a_{2m-1}, a_{2m})$ be a union of disjoint, possibly infinite, intervals such that the closure of J does not contain the origin.

Proposition 8.8. *The Whittaker kernel satisfies the conditions* (1)-(4), (5a-d), (5e'), (6), (7) *of* §5 *with the matrices*

$$C(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^{\frac{z+z'}{2}} e^{\zeta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}} e^{-\zeta/2}\end{bmatrix}, \quad D = -\frac12\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{bmatrix} = -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}\,.$$

Proof. The proof of (1) is very similar to the case of the $_2F_1$ kernel. The kernel $L(x, y)$ has the form

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ -A^* & 0\end{bmatrix},$$

where A is a kernel on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_-$ of the form

$$A(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{\psi_+(x)\psi_-(y)}}{x - y} = \frac{C(z, z')x^{-\frac{z+z'}{2}} e^{-x}(-y)^{\frac{z+z'}{2}} e^{y}}{x - y}\,.$$

The jump condition is verified using the formulas [Er, 6.5(7), 6.8(15), 6.9(4)].

(2) can be either verified in the same way as for the ${}_2F_1$ kernel, or it can be deduced from the fact that the Whittaker kernel is the correlation kernel of a determinantal point process which has finitely many particles in J almost surely (see [So, Theorem 4] for the general theorem about determinantal point processes, and [BO1], [Bor1] for the needed property of the Whittaker kernel).

(3) If $|z + z'| < 1$ then the kernel L introduced above defines a skew, bounded operator in $L^2(\mathfrak{X}, dx)$, and $K = L(1 + L)^{-1}$, see [P.V], [BO1]. Then, similarly to Corollary 3.7, we can prove that $1 - K^J$ is invertible.

However, for the restricted operator K^J, we can prove the invertibility of $1-K^J$ for all admissible values of (z, z'). The following argument is due to G. Olshanski.

Write K^J in the block form

$$K^J = \begin{bmatrix} K^J_{+,+} & K^J_{+,-} \\ K^J_{-,+} & K^J_{-,-} \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to the splitting $J = (J \cap \mathbb{R}_+) \sqcup (J \cap \mathbb{R}_-)$. Since K is a correlation kernel, $K^J_{+,+}$ and $K^J_{-,-}$ are positive definite. Moreover, $K^J_{-,+}(x, y) = -K^J_{+,-}(y, x)$ by definition of the Whittaker kernel. Thus, it is enough to prove the invertibility of $1 - K^J_{+,+}$ and $1 - K^J_{-,-}$ (see proof of Corollary 3.7).

We consider $K^J_{+,+}$; the proof for $K^J_{-,-}$ is similar. By [So, Theorem 3], $K_{+,+} \le 1$ and $K^J_{+,+} = K_{+,+}|_J \le 1$. The only way $K_{+,+}$ can have norm 1 (remember that $K^J_{+,+}$ is of trace class, hence, it is compact) is that $K_{+,+}$ has an eigenfunction with eigenvalue 1 which is supported on $J \cap \mathbb{R}_+$. By [P.V, Proposition 3.1], see also [BO1], $K_{+,+} = K_{+,+}(x, y)$ commutes with a Sturm-Liouville operator

$$D_x = -\frac{d}{dx}\, x^2 \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{(z + z' + x)^2}{4}$$

in the sense that

$$K_{+,+}(x, y)D_y = D_x K_{+,+}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y > 0$. Suppose $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is an eigenfunction of $K_{+,+}$ with eigenvalue 1 and supported in $J \cap \mathbb{R}_+$, i. e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K_{+,+}(x, y) f(y) dy = \int_{J\cap\mathbb{R}_+} K_{+,+}(x, y) f(y) dy = f(x), \quad x > 0.$$

Then using the decay and smoothness properties of $K_{+,+}(x, y)$, which follow easily from the known properties of the Whittaker function, one sees that $D_x f$ also belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K_{+,+}(x, y) D_y f(y) dy = D_x f(x).$$

Thus

$$V = \mathrm{Span}\{D^k_x f \,:\, k \ge 0\} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(1 - K^J_{+,+}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

But as $K^J_{+,+}$ is compact, $\dim V < \infty$, and hence V is a finite dimensional invariant subspace for D_x. It follows that there exists a nonzero $v \in V$ such that $D_x v = \lambda v$ for some scalar λ. But as $v \in V$, it must vanish in a neighborhood of $x = 0$, which is not possible for nontrivial solutions $v(x)$ of the differential equation $D_x v = \lambda v$. Thus, we obtain a contradiction, and hence $\|K^J_{+,+}\| < 1$ and $1 - K^J_{+,+}$ is invertible. The proof of (3) is now complete.

(4) and (5a-d), (5e') are easily verified. The proofs of (6) and (7) are similar to the case of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel, and we do not reproduce them here. □

By Theorem 5.3, the matrix M for the Whittaker kernel satisfies the differential equation

$$M'(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\zeta} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{\mathcal{C}_j}{\zeta - a_j} - \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \right) M(\zeta).$$

The matrices $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ are nilpotent (if $a_1 = -\infty$ or $a_{2m} = +\infty$, then $\mathcal{C}_1 = 0$ or $\mathcal{C}_{2m} = 0$, respectively), and an analog of Proposition 3.3 shows that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A} = 0, \quad \det \mathcal{A} = -\left(\frac{z - z'}{2} \right)^2 .$$

Theorem 8.9. *The Fredholm determinant* $\det(1 - K|_J)$*, where K is the Whittaker kernel, is the τ-function for the system of Schlesinger equations*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial a_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{A}]}{a_j - \frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_l}{\partial a_j} = \frac{[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}_j]}{a_j} - \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le 2m \\ l \ne j}} \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{C}_l]}{a_j - a_l} + \frac{[\mathcal{C}_j, \sigma_3]}{2}. \tag{8.1}$$

The matrices $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ are nilpotent (if $a_1 = -\infty$ or $a_{2m} = +\infty$, then $\mathcal{C}_1 = 0$ or $\mathcal{C}_{2m} = 0$, respectively), and

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A} = 0, \quad \det \mathcal{A} = -\left(\frac{z - z'}{2} \right)^2 .$$

Proof. These results follow from Theorem 6.5. □

The next step is to consider $J = (s, +\infty)$, $s > 0$. It turns out that in this case the Schlesinger equations reduce to the σ-form of the Painlevé V equation. This reduction can be performed in the spirit of §7, following the corresponding part of [JM, Appendix C]. Although we do not perform the computation here, let us state the result.

Theorem 8.10 [Tr]**.** *Assume that $s > 0$. Then the function*

$$\sigma(s) = s \, \frac{d \ln \det(1 - K|_{(s,+\infty)})}{ds}$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$(s\sigma'')^2 = (2(\sigma')^2 - s\sigma' + \sigma + (\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)\sigma')^2 - 4(\sigma' + \nu_1)(\sigma' + \nu_2)(\sigma' + \nu_3)(\sigma' + \nu_4), \tag{8.2}$$

where

$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0, \quad \nu_3 = -z, \quad \nu_4 = -z' .$$

This result can of course also be obtained from Theorem 7.1 via the limit transition discussed in Proposition 8.8.

Very much in the same way as the ${}_2F_1$ kernel becomes the Jacobi kernel at integral values of z and w, the Whittaker kernel becomes the Laguerre kernel if one of the parameters z, z' is an integer, see [P.III, Remark 2.4]. Without giving any details, we formulate the results which can be obtained using this specialization.

Let J be a proper subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$, whose left endpoint is allowed to coincide with 0, and whose right endpoint is allowed to coincide with $+\infty$.

Theorem 8.11. *Assume that* $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. *Then the Fredholm determinant* $\det(1 - K_n^{\mathrm{Lag}}|_J)$, *where* K_n^{Lag} *is the nth Laguerre kernel with parameter* α, *is the* τ*-function for the system of Schlesinger equations* (8.1). *The matrices* $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ *are nilpotent* (*if* $a_1 = 0$ *or* $a_{2m} = +\infty$ *then* $\mathcal{C}_1 = 0$ *or* $\mathcal{C}_{2m} = 0$, *respectively*), *and the eigenvalues of* $\mathcal{A}$ *are equal to* $\pm\alpha/2$.

Theorem 8.12 [TW4]**.** *Assume that* $s > 0$, $\alpha > -1$. *Let* K_s *be the nth Laguerre kernel with parameter* α *restricted to either* $(0, s)$ *or* $(s, +\infty)$. *Then the function*

$$\sigma(s) = s\,\frac{d \ln \det(1 - K_s)}{ds}$$

satisfies the differential equation (8.2) *with* $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0$, $\nu_3 = n$, $\nu_4 = n + \alpha$.

8.3. The confluent hypergeometric kernel. This subsection is based on the following observation.

Proposition 8.13. *Set*

$$z = z_0 + i\Upsilon, \quad z' = \overline{z}_0 - i\Upsilon, \quad w = w_0 + i\Upsilon, \quad w' = \overline{w}_0 - i\Upsilon. \tag{8.3}$$

Then the ${}_2F_1$ *kernel* K^F *has the following scaling limit:*

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \lim_{\Upsilon \to +\infty} \Upsilon \cdot K^F\left(\frac{\Upsilon}{x}, \frac{\Upsilon}{y}\right), \quad x, y \neq 0,$$

where the limit kernel depends on 1 complex parameter $r = z_0 + \overline{w}_0$, $\Re r > -\frac{1}{2}$, *and has the form*

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\Gamma\left[\begin{matrix} r+1,\ \bar{r}+1 \\ 2\Re r + 1,\ 2\Re r + 2 \end{matrix}\right] \frac{Q(x)P(y) - P(x)Q(y)}{x - y},$$

$$P(x) = |2x|^{\Re r}\, e^{-ix + \pi \Im r \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(x)/2}\, {}_1F_1\left[\left.\begin{matrix} r \\ 2\Re r \end{matrix}\,\right|\, 2ix\right],$$

$$Q(x) = 2x\, |2x|^{\Re r}\, e^{-ix + \pi \Im r \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(x)/2}\, {}_1F_1\left[\left.\begin{matrix} r+1 \\ 2\Re r + 2 \end{matrix}\,\right|\, 2ix\right].$$

Here ${}_1F_1\left[\left.\begin{matrix} a \\ c \end{matrix}\,\right|\, x\right]$ is the confluent hypergeometric function also denoted as $\Phi(a, c; x)$, see [Er, 6.1].

The determinantal point process with the correlation kernel $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$ describes the decomposition of a remarkable family of measures on infinite Hermitian matrices on the ergodic (with respect to the $U(\infty)$ action) measures, see [BO4]. We will call $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$ the *confluent hypergeometric kernel*.

For real values of r this kernel was obtained in [WF] as a scaling limit of Christoffel–Darboux kernels for a certain system of orthogonal polynomials (called the *pseudo-Jacobi polynomials*). For complex values of r such limit transition can be carried out as well, see [BO4, §2].

Proof of Proposition 8.13. This is a direct computation. The relevant limit relation for the hypergeometric functions in this case, has the form

$$\lim_{\Upsilon\to+\infty} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a,\, b+2i\Upsilon \\ c \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\Upsilon}{x}\right)^{-1}\right] = {}_1F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a \\ c \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 2ix\right]. \quad \square$$

The determinantal point process defined by $\mathcal{K}$ has locally finite point configurations almost surely, see [BO4]. Hence ([So, Theorem 4]), the restriction $\mathcal{K}_t = \mathcal{K}|_{(0,t)}$ to any finite interval $(0, t)$ defines an operator of trace class, and $\det(1 - \mathcal{K}_t)$ is well-defined. It is natural to conjecture that this Fredholm determinant satisfies a differential equation obtained by taking the corresponding scaling limit of the Painlevé VI equation of Theorem 7.1.

In the Proposition below we check that the limit of the differential equation exists, and we observe that it is a σ-form of the Painlevé V equation. In [WF] it was proved that for the real values of r the determinant $\det(1 - \mathcal{K}_t)$ does indeed satisfy this equation. The justification of this statement for all values of r in our setup requires a proof that the corresponding restriction of the ${}_2F_1$ kernel converges to $\mathcal{K}_t$ in trace norm, and we leave this technical issue aside here.

Proposition 8.14. *Under the change of parameters* (8.3)*, and the change of the independent variable $s = \Upsilon/t$, equation* (7.1) *converges to the following σ-version of the Painlevé V:*

$$-(t\widetilde{\sigma}'')^2 = (2(t\widetilde{\sigma}' - \widetilde{\sigma}) + (\widetilde{\sigma}')^2 + i(\bar{r} - r)\widetilde{\sigma}')^2 - (\widetilde{\sigma}')^2(\widetilde{\sigma}' - 2ir)(\widetilde{\sigma}' + 2i\bar{r}), \qquad (8.4)$$

where $r = z_0 + \overline{w}_0$ and

$$\sigma(s) = -\frac{\Upsilon}{t}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}(t) - \frac{i(r-\bar{r})}{2}t + \frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right).$$

Proof. We derive (8.4) from (7.1), assuming that certain limits exist, as noted above. Keeping in mind the relation $s = \Upsilon/t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(s) &= \left(\frac{\Upsilon}{t}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\Upsilon}{t}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{t^2}{\Upsilon}\frac{d}{dt}\right)\ln\det\left(1 - K^F_{(\Upsilon/t,+\infty)}\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\frac{\Upsilon}{t} + \frac{1}{2}\left(2i\Upsilon + \frac{z_0 + w_0 - \overline{z}_0 - \overline{w}_0}{2}\right)\frac{r-\bar{r}}{2} \\ &= \Upsilon\left(-\frac{d\ln\det(1-\mathcal{K}|_t)}{dt} - \frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4t} + \frac{i(r-\bar{r})}{2}\right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, anticipating that $\widetilde{\sigma}(t) = t\dfrac{d\ln\det(1-\mathcal{K}|_t)}{dt} + o(1)$ as $\Upsilon \to +\infty$, we define $\widetilde{\sigma}(t)$ by the relation

$$\sigma(s) = -\frac{\Upsilon}{t}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}(t) - \frac{i(r-\bar{r})}{2}t + \frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right),$$

which leads to

$$\frac{d\sigma(s)}{ds}=\frac{t^2}{\Upsilon}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\Upsilon}{t}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}(t)-\frac{i(r-\bar{r})}{2}t+\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right)\right)=t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\sigma(t)-\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4},$$

$$s\frac{d\sigma(s)}{ds}-\sigma(s)=\Upsilon\left(\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\frac{i(r-\bar{r})}{2}\right),$$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma(s)}{ds^2}=-\frac{t^2}{\Upsilon}\frac{d}{dt}\left(t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\widetilde{\sigma}(t)-\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right)=-\frac{t^3}{\Upsilon}\frac{d^2\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt^2},$$

$$\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{d^2\sigma(s)}{ds^2}=-\Upsilon t\frac{d^2\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt^2}+O(1).$$

Substituting these relations into the equation (7.1) and passing to the limit $\Upsilon\to+\infty$ we obtain

$$-\left(t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\widetilde{\sigma}(t)-\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right)\left(t\frac{d^2\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt^2}\right)^2$$
$$=\left(2\left(\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\frac{i(r-\bar{r})}{2}\right)\left(t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\widetilde{\sigma}(t)-\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right)-2i\,\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\frac{(r-\bar{r})}{2}\right)^2$$
$$+4\left(t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\widetilde{\sigma}(t)\right)^2\left(t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\widetilde{\sigma}(t)-\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}+\frac{(r-\bar{r})^2}{4}\right).$$

It is readily seen that the right-hand side of this equation is divisible by

$$\left(t\frac{d\widetilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt}-\widetilde{\sigma}(t)-\frac{(r+\bar{r})^2}{4}\right),$$

and after this cancellation the equation exactly coincides with (8.4). □

Remark 8.15.

For $r=0$ the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ becomes the sine kernel $\sin(x-y)/\pi(x-y)$, see [BO4, §2]. Accordingly, equation (8.4) takes the form

$$-(t\widetilde{\sigma}'')^2=4(t\widetilde{\sigma}'-\widetilde{\sigma})(t\widetilde{\sigma}'-\widetilde{\sigma}+(\widetilde{\sigma}')^2). \tag{8.5}$$

This agrees with the celebrated result of [JMMS], which states that equation (8.5) is satisfied by the function

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(t)=t\,\frac{d}{dt}\,\ln\det\left(1-\left.\frac{\sin(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)}\right|_{(0,t)}\right).$$

9. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: A GENERAL APPROACH

Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 point to a general method for proving that a wide class of determinants satisfy Painlevé equations (see the Introduction and [TW1–4]). We illustrate the method in the case of the Airy kernel

$$A(x,y)=\frac{Ai(x)Ai'(y)-Ai(x)Ai'(y)}{x-y}$$

where $Ai(x)$ is the well-known Airy function. This kernel arises in random matrix theory ([F], [TW2]) and plays a central role in the interaction of combinatorics and random matrix theory (see e.g. [BDJ1], [BDJ2], [Ok], [BOO], [J]).

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let A_s denote the operator obtained by restricting the kernel $A(x, y)$ to $L^2(s, +\infty)$. The basic result of Tracy and Widom [TW2] is that

$$-\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \ln \det(1 - A_s) = u^2(s),$$

where $u(s)$ solves the Painlevé II equation

$$u'' = 2u^3 + su$$

with initial conditions

$$u(s) \sim -Ai(s) \quad \text{as } s \to +\infty.$$

We now outline a proof of this fact using Lemmas 4.3, 4.4. It will be clear to the reader that the method extends, in particular, to the general class of kernels considered in [TW4].

In the notation of Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, let $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}$, $\Sigma_2 = J = (s, +\infty)$, and $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_2 = (-\infty, s]$. Let $B(\zeta)$ be a 2×2 fundamental solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{dB(\zeta)}{d\zeta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \zeta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} B(\zeta), \qquad \det B(\zeta) \equiv 1,$$

with $B_{11}(\zeta) = Ai'(\zeta)$, $B_{21}(\zeta) = Ai(\zeta)$. Set

$$m(\zeta) = \begin{cases} B(\zeta), & \Im\zeta > 0, \\ B(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\pi i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \Im\zeta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then m satisfy the jump relation $m_+(x) = m_-(x)v(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$v(x) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$f(x) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g(x) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and note that $v = I + 2\pi i f g^t$. Also

$$F(x) = m(x) f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} Ai'(x) \\ Ai(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad G(x) = m^{-t}(x) g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -Ai(x) \\ Ai'(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

and we set

$$v_2(x) = I - 2\pi i F(x) G^t(x), \qquad x \in \Sigma_2 = (s, +\infty).$$

Let m_s solve the normalized RHP (Σ_2, v_2), $m_s(\zeta) \to I$ as $\zeta \to \infty$. By Lemma 4.4,

$$M(\zeta) \equiv m_s(\zeta) m(\zeta)$$

solves the "simple" jump relation $M_+ = M_- v$ on Σ_1. Standard arguments as in Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 6.2 now imply that M satisfies the Lax pair

$$\frac{dM(\zeta)}{d\zeta} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \zeta+b \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\zeta-s}\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{bmatrix}\right) M(\zeta), \tag{9.1}$$

$$\frac{dM(\zeta)}{ds} = -\frac{1}{\zeta-s}\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{bmatrix} M(\zeta), \tag{9.2}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{bmatrix}$ is nilpotent. Here a, b, p, q, r are suitable constants which depend only on s. By (6.9),

$$\frac{d}{ds}\ln\det(1-A_s) = (H^{-1}(s)H'(s))_{21},$$

where the prime refers to the derivative with respect to ζ, and

$$M(\zeta) = H(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i\ln(\zeta-s) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{9.3}$$

As noted, in §4 (see (4.3)), $\det H(\zeta) \equiv 1$ and $H(\zeta)$ is analytic near $\zeta = s$ (in fact, $H(\zeta)$ is entire). Using (9.1) and (9.3), we find

$$M'M^{-1} = H'H^{-1} + \frac{1}{\zeta-s} H \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} H^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & \zeta+b \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\zeta-s}\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{bmatrix}$$

which leads to the relation

$$(H^{-1}(s)H'(s))_{21} = 2ap + q + (s+b)r.$$

The compatibility of the Lax-pair equations (9.1), (9.2) yields ([KH, §2]) the relations $2ap + q + (s+b)r = a$, $\frac{da}{ds} = r$, where r solves the Painlevé 34 equation

$$\frac{d^2r}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{2r}\left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 - 4r^2 + 2sr.$$

Writing $r = -u^2$, a simple calculation shows that u solves the Painlevé II equation. This verifies the above claim for

$$-\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\ln\det(1-A_s) = -\frac{da}{ds} = -r = u^2.$$

Note that one can also show that $\det(1-A_s)$ is the τ-function for the isomonodromy deformation described by (9.1), (9.2).

APPENDIX. INTEGRABLE OPERATORS AND RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEMS

This appendix contains a brief summary of results on integrable operators and corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problems which can be found in [IIKS], [KBI], [De].

Let Σ be an oriented contour in $\mathbb{C}$. We call an operator L acting in $L^2(\Sigma, |d\zeta|)$ *integrable* if its kernel has the form

$$L(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(\zeta) g_j(\zeta')}{\zeta - \zeta'}, \quad \zeta,\ \zeta' \in \Sigma,$$

for some functions $f_j,\ g_j,\ j = 1, \dots, N.$ We shall always assume that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(\zeta) g_j(\zeta) = 0, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma,$$

so that the kernel $L(\zeta, \zeta')$ is nonsingular (this assumption is not necessary for the general theory).

We do not impose here any restrictions on the functions f_i, g_i and on the contour Σ. For our purposes it suffices to assume that Σ is a finite union of disjoint (possibly infinite) intervals on the real line, f_i, g_i are smooth functions inside $\mathfrak{S}$, and

$$f_i, g_i \in L^p(\Sigma, |d\zeta|) \cap L^\infty(\Sigma, |d\zeta|) \quad \text{for some } \ p,\ 1 < p < +\infty. \tag{A.1}$$

These restrictions guarantee, in particular, that L is a bounded operator in $L^2(\Sigma)$.

Particular examples of integrable operators appeared in the mathematical physics literature a long time ago. However, integrable operators were first singled out as a distinguished class in [IIKS].

It turns out that for an integrable operator L such that $(1 + L)^{-1}$ exists, the operator $K = L(1 + L)^{-1}$ is also integrable.

Proposition A.1 [IIKS]. *Let L be an integrable operator as described above and $K = L(1 + L)^{-1}$. Then the kernel $K(\zeta, \zeta')$ has the form*

$$K(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_j(\zeta) G_j(\zeta')}{\zeta - \zeta'}, \quad \zeta, \zeta' \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$F_j = (1 + L)^{-1} f_j, \qquad G_j = (1 + L^t)^{-1} g_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

If $\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(\zeta) g_j(\zeta) = 0$ on Σ, then $\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_j(\zeta) G_j(\zeta) = 0$ on Σ as well.

A remarkable fact is that F_j and G_j can be expressed through a solution of an associated Riemann–Hilbert problem (RHP, for short).

Let v be a map from Σ to $\mathrm{Mat}(k, \mathbb{C})$, where k is a fixed integer.

We say that a matrix function $m : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma \to \mathrm{Mat}(k, \mathbb{C})$ is a solution of the RHP (Σ, v) if the following conditions are satisfied

- $m(\zeta)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$,
- $m_+(\zeta) = m_-(\zeta) v(\zeta),\ \zeta \in \Sigma,$ where $m_\pm(\zeta) = \lim\limits_{\substack{\zeta' \to \zeta \\ \zeta' \in (\pm)\text{-side}}} m(\zeta'),$

If in addition

- $m(\zeta) \to I$ as $\zeta \to \infty$,

we say that m solve the *normalized* RHP (Σ, v).

The matrix $v(\zeta)$ is called the *jump matrix.*

Proposition A.2 [IIKS]. *Let L be an integrable operator as described above such that the operator $1 + L$ is invertible. Then there exists a unique solution $m(\zeta)$ of the normalized RHP (Σ, v) with*

$$v(\zeta) = I + 2\pi i\, f(\zeta) g(\zeta)^t \in \mathrm{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$f = (f_1, \ldots, f_N)^t, \qquad g = (g_1, \ldots, g_N)^t,$$

and the kernel of the operator $K = L(1 + L)^{-1}$ has the form

$$K(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{G^t(\zeta') F(\zeta)}{\zeta - \zeta'}, \quad \zeta, \zeta' \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$F = (F_1, \ldots, F_N)^t, \qquad G = (G_1, \ldots, G_N)^t$$

are given by

$$F(\zeta) = m_+(\zeta)\, f(\zeta) = m_-(\zeta)\, f(\zeta), \qquad G(\zeta) = m_+^{-t}(\zeta)\, g(\zeta) = m_-^{-t}(\zeta)\, g(\zeta).$$

In other words, the inverse $(1 + L)^{-1}$ of 1 plus an integrable operator can be expressed in terms of the solution of an associated problem in complex variables.

The function $m(\zeta)$ may have singularities at the points of discontinuity of the jump matrix v (e.g., at the endpoints of $\mathfrak{S}$). Unless specified otherwise, we assume that $m(\zeta)$ belongs to the L^2-space locally on any smooth curve passing through the singular point. Under our restrictions on f_i, g_i, and $\mathfrak{S}$, see above, the solution $m(\zeta)$ in Proposition A.2 satisfies this condition.

A discrete version of the Propositions A.1 and A.2 is given in [Bor2].

Let now $\Sigma = \Sigma_I \cup \Sigma_{II}$ be a union of two contours. Assume that the operator L in the block form corresponding to this splitting is as follows

$$L(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{h_I(x) h_{II}(y)}{x - y} \\ \frac{h_I(y) h_{II}(x)}{x - y} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some functions $h_I(\,\cdot\,)$ and $h_{II}(\,\cdot\,)$ defined on Σ_I and Σ_{II}, respectively.

Then the operator L is integrable with $N = 2$. Indeed,

$$L(x, y) = \frac{f_1(x) g_1(y) + f_2(x) g_2(y)}{x - y}, \qquad x, y \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$f_1(x) = g_2(x) = \begin{cases} h_I(x), & x \in \Sigma_I, \\ 0, & x \in \Sigma_{II}, \end{cases} \qquad f_2(x) = g_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \Sigma_I, \\ h_{II}(x), & x \in \Sigma_{II}. \end{cases}$$

The jump matrix $v(x)$ of the corresponding RHP has the form

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i\, h_I^2(x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Sigma_I, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\pi i\, h_{II}^2(x) & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Sigma_{II}. \end{cases}$$

It can be easily seen that the RHP in such a situation is equivalent to the following set of conditions:

- matrix elements m_{11} and m_{21} are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{II}$;
- matrix elements m_{12} and m_{22} are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{I}$;
- on Σ_{II} the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} m_{11+}(x) - m_{11-}(x) &= 2\pi i\, h_I^2(x) m_{12}(x), \\ m_{21+}(x) - m_{21-}(x) &= 2\pi i\, h_I^2(x) m_{22}(x); \end{aligned}$$

- on Σ_I the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} m_{12+}(x) - m_{12-}(x) &= 2\pi i\, h_{II}^2(x) m_{11}(x), \\ m_{22+}(x) - m_{22-}(x) &= 2\pi i\, h_{II}^2(x) m_{21}(x); \end{aligned}$$

- $m(x) \sim I$ as $x \to \infty$.

According to Proposition A.2, the kernel $K(x,y)$ in block form corresponding to the splitting $\Sigma = \Sigma_I \cup \Sigma_{II}$ is given by

$$K(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h_I(x)h_I(y)(-m_{11}(x)m_{21}(y)+m_{21}(x)m_{11}(y))}{x-y} & \frac{h_I(x)h_{II}(y)(m_{11}(x)m_{22}(y)-m_{21}(x)m_{12}(y))}{x-y} \\ \frac{h_{II}(x)h_I(y)(m_{22}(x)m_{11}(y)-m_{12}(x)m_{21}(y))}{x-y} & \frac{h_{II}(x)h_{II}(y)(-m_{22}(x)m_{12}(y)+m_{12}(x)m_{22}(y))}{x-y} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{A.2}$$

REFERENCES

[ASvM] M. Adler, T. Shiota, P. van Moerbeke, *Random matrices, Virasoro algebras, and noncommutative KP*, Duke Math. J. **94** (1998), no. 2, 379–431, `solv-int/9812006`.

[AvM] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, *Hermitian, symmetric and symplectic random ensembles: PDEs for the distribution of the spectrum*, Ann. of Math. (2) **153** (2001), no. 1, 149–189, `math-ph/0009001`.

[BDJ1] J. Baik, P. Deift, K. Johansson, *On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 4, 1119–1178, `math/9810105`.

[BDJ2] ———, *On the distribution of the length of the second row of a Young diagram under Plancherel measure*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 4, 702–731, `math/9901118`.

[Bor1] A. Borodin, *Harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group and the Whittaker kernel*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **12** (2001), no. 5, 733–759.

[Bor2] ———, *Riemann–Hilbert problem and the discrete Bessel kernel*, Intern. Math. Research Notices (2000), no. 9, 467–494, `math/9912093`.

[Bor3] ———, *Discrete gap probabilities and discrete Painlevé equations*, Preprint, 2001, `math-ph/0111008`.

[BOO] A. Borodin, A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski, *Asymptotics of Plancherel measures for symmetric groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), 491–515, `math/9905032`.

[BO1] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, *Point processes and the infinite symmetric group*, Math. Research Lett. **5** (1998), 799–816 `math/9810015`.

[BO2] ———, *Distributions on partitions, point processes and the hypergeometric kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **211** (2000), no. 2, 335–358, `math/9904010`.

[BO3] ———, *Z–Measures on partitions, Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence, and $\beta = 2$ random matrix ensembles*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications **40** (2001), 71–94, `math/9905189`.

[BO4] ———, *Infinite random matrices and ergodic measures*, Comm. Math. Phys. **223** (2001), no. 1, 87–123, `math-ph/0010015`.

[BO5] ———, *Harmonic analysis on the infinite–dimensional unitary group*, Preprint, 2001, `math/0109194`.

[Boy] R. P. Boyer, *Infinite traces of AF-algebras and characters of $U(\infty)$*, J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), 205–236.

[Cos] O. Costin and R. D. Costin, *Special solutions of PVI*, In preparation.

[DVJ] D. J. Daley, D. Vere–Jones, *An introduction to the theory of point processes*, Springer series in statistics, Springer, 1988.

[De] P. Deift, *Integrable operators*, Differential operators and spectral theory: M. Sh. Birman's 70th anniversary collection (V. Buslaev, M. Solomyak, D. Yafaev, eds.), American Mathematical Society Translations, ser. 2, v. 189, Providence, R.I.: AMS, 1999.

[DIZ1] P. A. Deift, A. R. Its, and X. Zhou, *Long-time asymptotics for integrable nonlinear wave equations*, Important developments in soliton theory, Springer Ser. Nonlinear Dynam., Berlin, 1993, pp. 181–204.

[DIZ2] ———, *A Riemann–Hilbert approach to asymptotic problems arising in the theory of random matrix models, and also in the theory of integrable statistical mechanics*, Ann. Math. (2) **146**, 149–235.

[Ed] A. Edrei, *On the generating function of a doubly–infinite, totally positive sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1953), no. 3, 367–383.

[Er] A. Erdelyi (ed.), *Higher transcendental functions,* Vol. 1,2, Mc Graw–Hill, 1953.

[F] P. J. Forrester, *The spectrum edge of random matrix ensembles*, Nuclear Physics B **402** (1993), no. 3, 709-728.

[FW] P. J. Forrester and N. S. Witte, *Application of the τ-function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **219** (2001), no. 2, 357–398, `math-ph/0103025`.

[HI] J. Harnad and A. R. Its, *Integrable Fredholm operators and dual isomonodromic deformations*, Preprint CRM-2477, May 1997.

[HS] L. Haine and J.-P. Semengue, *The Jacobi polynomial ensemble and the Painlevé VI equation*, Jour. Math. Phys. **40**, no. 4, 2117–2134.

[Its] A. R. Its, *A Riemann–Hilbert approach to the distribution functions of Random Matrix Theory*, Lectures in Canterbury, May 2000.

[IIKS] A. R. Its, A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin, N. A. Slavnov, *Differential equations for quantum correlation functions*, Intern. J. Mod. Phys. **B4** (1990), 10037–1037.

[IN] A. R. Its, V. Yu. Novokshenov, *The isomonodromic deformation method in the theory of Painlevé equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1191, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[JMMS] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, T. Môri, and M. Sato, *Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent*, Physica 1D, 80-158.

[JMU] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and K. Ueno, *Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients I*, Physica 2D, 306–352.

[JM] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients II*, Physica 2D, 407–448.

[J] K. Johansson, *Discrete orthogonal polynomial ensembles and the Plancherel measure*, Ann. Math. (2) **153** (2001), no. 1, 259–296; `math/9906120`.

[KH] A. A. Kapaev and E. Hubert, *A note on the Lax pairs for Painlevé equations*, Jour. Phys A: Math. Gen. **32**, 8145–8156.

[KBI] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[Mac] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.

[Mal] B. Malgrange, *Sur les déformations isomonodromiques. I. Singularités régulières*, Mathematics and physics, Progr. Math., vol. 37, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 401–426.

[Mah] G. Mahoux, *Introduction to the theory of isomonodromic deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients*, The Painlevé property, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer, New York, 1999, pp. 35–76.

[Me1] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices*, 2nd edition, Academic Press, New York, 1991.

[Me2] ———, *A nonlinear differential equation and a Fredholm determinant*, J. Physique I **2** (1992), no. 9, 1721–1729.

[Miw] T. Miwa, *Painlevé property of monodromy preserving deformation equations and the analyticity of τ functions*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **17** (1981), no. 2, 703–721.

[Oka] K. Okamoto, *Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations. I*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **56** (1980), no. 6, 264–268.

[Ok] A. Okounkov, *Random matrices and random permutations*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices (2000), no. 20, 1043–1095, `math/9903176`.

[OkOl] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski, *Asymptotics of Jack polynomials as the number of variables goes to infinity*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 13, 641–682, `q-alg/9709011`.

[Ol1] G. Olshanski, *Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs (G, K) and the formalism of R. Howe*, Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics (A. Vershik and D. Zhelobenko, eds.), Advanced Studies in Contemporary Math. **7**, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York etc., 1990, pp. 269–463.

[Ol2] ———, *An introduction to harmonic analysis on the infinite–dimensional unitary group*, Preprint, 2001, `math/0109193`.

[Pal] J. Palmer, *Deformation analysis of matrix models*, Physica D **78**, 166–185.

[P.I] G. Olshanski, *Point processes and the infinite symmetric group. Part I: The general formalism and the density function*, Preprint, 1998, `math/9804086`.

[P.II] A. Borodin, *Point processes and the infinite symmetric group. Part II: Higher correlation functions*, Preprint, 1998, `math/9804087`.

[P.III] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, *Point processes and the infinite symmetric group. Part III: Fermion point processes*, Preprint, 1998, `math/9804088`.

[P.IV] A. Borodin, *Point processes and the infinite symmetric group. Part IV: Matrix Whittaker kernel*, Preprint, 1998, `math/9810013`.

[P.V] G. Olshanski, *Point processes and the infinite symmetric group. Part V: Analysis of the matrix Whittaker kernel*, Preprint, 1998, `math/9810014`.

[RS] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III. Scattering theory*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.

[SMJ] M. Sato, T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, *Holonomic quantum fields. II. The Riemann-Hilbert problem*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **15** (1979), no. 1, 201–278.

[So] A. Soshnikov, *Determinantal random point fields*, Russian Math. Surveys **55** (2000), no. 5, 923–975, `math/0002099`.

[Sz] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials.*, AMS, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII, Providence, R.I., 1975.

[Th] E. Thoma, *Characters of infinite groups*, Operator algebras and group representations, vol. 2, Pitman, 1984, pp. 23–32.

[Tr] C. A. Tracy, *Whittaker kernel and the fifth Painlevé transcendent*, Unpublished letter to A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, April 29, 1998.

[TW1] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Introduction to random matrices*, Geometric and quantum aspects of integrable systems, Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 424, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 103–130, `hep-th/9210073`.

[TW2] ———, *Level spacing distributions and the Airy kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 151–174, `hep-th/9211141`.

[TW3] ———, *Level spacing distributions and the Bessel kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **161** (1994), 289–309, `hep-th/9304063`.

[TW4] ———, *Fredholm determinants, differential equations and matrix models*, Comm. Math. Phys. **163** (1994), 33–72, `hep-th/9306042`.

[VK] A. M. Vershik, S. V. Kerov, *Characters and factor representations of the infinite unitary group*, Soviet Math. Doklady **26** (1982), 570–574.

[Vo] D. Voiculescu, *Représentations factorielles de type* II_1 *de* $U(\infty)$, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **55** (1976), 1–20.

[WF] N. S. Witte, P. J. Forrester, *Gap probabilities in the finite and scaled Cauchy random matrix ensembles*, Nonlinearity **13** (2000), no. 6, 1965–1986, `math-ph/0009022`.

[WFC] N. S. Witte, P. J. Forrester, C. M. Cosgrove, *Gap Probabilities for Edge Intervals in Finite Gaussian and Jacobi Unitary Matrix Ensembles*, Nonlinearity **13** (2000), 1439–1464, `math-ph/0008033`.

[Zh] D. P. Zhelobenko, *Compact Lie groups and their representations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1970 (Russian); English translation: Transl. Math. Monographs **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.